Remarks on Global Stability for Inverse Sturm–Liouville problems

A. A. Shkalikov, MSU

Based on joint works with A. M. Savchuk

2006, December 15, TU, Berlin

Borg, 1946

$$L_D = \begin{cases} Ly = -y'' + q(x)y \\ \mathcal{D}(L) = \{y \in W_2^2 \mid y(0) = y(\pi) = 0\} \end{cases}$$
$$L_{DN} = \begin{cases} Ly = -y'' + q(x)y \\ \mathcal{D}(L) = \{y \in W_2^2 \mid y(0) = y'(\pi) = 0\} \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\{\lambda_k\}_1^\infty$ and $\{\mu_k\}_1^\infty$ the spectra of L_D and L_{DN} , respectively.

Direct problem:

$$\rho_{2k-1} = \sqrt{\mu_k} = k - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q_0}{2k - 1} + \frac{\alpha_{2k-1}}{k}$$
$$\rho_{2k} = \sqrt{\lambda_k} = k - \frac{q_0}{2k} + \frac{\alpha_{2k}}{k}$$

where q_0 is the mean value of q and $\{\alpha_k\}_1^\infty \in \ell_2$. Also,

$$\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \ldots < \rho_n < \rho_{n+1} \ldots \tag{IC}$$

Inverse Problem (Borg, Marchenko, Levitan). Let

$$s_{2k-1} = \rho_{2k-1} - k + \frac{1}{2}, \qquad s_{2k} = \rho_{2k} - k.$$

Assume

$$\{s_k\}_1^\infty \in \ell_2^1 \qquad \left(\sum |s_k|^2 k^2 < \infty\right).$$

and the condition (IC) holds. Then $\exists ! q(x) \in L_2(0,\pi)$ such that $\{\lambda_k\}$ and $\{\mu_k\}$ are the spectra of L_D and L_{DN}

There is a recovery procedure. It turns over that from two spectra we can recover the norming constants (by Levinson formulas)

$$\alpha_n = \int_0^\pi s^2(x, \lambda_n) \, dx,$$

where s is the solution of $-y'' + q(x)y = \lambda y$ satisfying the conditions

$$s(0,\lambda) = 0, \quad s'(0,\lambda) = 1$$

Then the potential q can be found by Gelfand-Levitan method.

Local stability (Marchenko, McLaughlin, Yurko, Malamud).

Theorem. Let the sequences $\{\rho_k\}_1^{\infty}$, $\{\tilde{\rho}_k\}_1^{\infty}$, correspond to the potentials q(x) and $\tilde{q}(x)$. Then

$$\|q - \tilde{q}\|_{L_2}^2 \leqslant C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\rho_k - \tilde{\rho}_k|^2 k^2 = C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |s_k - \tilde{s}_k|^2 k^2$$

where $C = C(q, \varepsilon)$, provided that $||q - \tilde{q}|| \leq \varepsilon$.

We want to get

$$\|q - \tilde{q}\|_{L_2}^2 \leqslant C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\rho_k - \tilde{\rho}_k|^2 k^2 = C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |s_k - \tilde{s}_k|^2 k^2 \qquad (1)$$

for all $\{\beta_k\}$, $\{\tilde{\beta}_k\}$ such that

 $\{s_k\}, \{\tilde{s}_k\} \in B_r$ = the ball of radius r in ℓ_2^1 , (2) with C = C(r).

This is not true. However, this is true with C = C(r,h), provided that $\{s_k\}$ and $\{\tilde{s}_k\}$ obey the additional assumption

$$|\rho_k - \rho_{k+1}| \ge h, \qquad |\tilde{\rho}_k - \tilde{\rho}_{k+1}| \ge h.$$
 (IC)_h

Theorem. Let (2) and $(IC)_h$ hold. Then (1) holds with C depending only on r and h.

To prove this result we have to solve more general problem: to give a complete characterization of spectral data $\{\rho_k\}_1^\infty$ for potentials $q(x) \in W_2^\theta$. We do this for $-1 \leq \theta < \infty$.

Remind

$$y^{[1]} = y'(x) - \sigma(x)y,$$

$$\sigma(x) = \int q(x) dx \qquad \text{(in the sense of distibution)},$$

$$L(y) = (y^{[1]})' - \sigma(x)y^{[1]} - \sigma^2(x)y,$$

then L_D and L_{DN} are well defined for $q(x) \in W_2^{\theta}$, $\theta \ge -1$, $\sigma \in W_2^{\theta+1}$.

Define

$$\ell_2^{\theta} = \{\{s_k\}_1^{\infty} | \sum k^{2\theta} |s_k|^2 < \infty\}.$$

Constract the spaces

$$\begin{split} \hat{\ell}_2^{\theta} &= \ell_2^{\theta}, \qquad \qquad 0 \leqslant \theta < 1/2 \\ \hat{\ell}_2^{\theta} &= \ell_2^{\theta} \oplus \left\{\frac{1}{k}\right\} \oplus \left\{\frac{(-1)^k}{k}\right\}, \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{2} \leqslant \theta < \frac{5}{2}, \\ \hat{\ell}_2^{\theta} &= \ell_2^{\theta} + \left\{\frac{1}{k}\right\} \oplus \left\{\frac{(-1)^k}{k}\right\} + \left\{\frac{1}{k^3}\right\} + \left\{\frac{(-1)^k}{k^3}\right\}, \quad \frac{5}{2} \leqslant \theta < \frac{9}{2}, \end{split}$$

Lemma. Let

$$T\sigma = \{b_k\}_1^\infty, \qquad b_k = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sigma(x) \sin kx \, dx.$$

Then $T: W_2^{\theta} \to \hat{\ell}_2^{\theta}$ is an isomorphism for all $\theta \ge 0$.

Theorem. Let

$$F(\sigma) = \{s_k\}_1^\infty.$$

Then $F: W_2^{\theta} \to \hat{\ell}_2^{\theta}$ and for all $\theta > 0$

$$F\sigma = \frac{1}{2}T\sigma + \Phi(\sigma),$$

where $\Phi: W_2^{ heta}
ightarrow \widehat{\ell}_2^{\, au}$,

$$\tau = \begin{cases} 2\theta, & \text{if } 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 1\\ \theta + 1, & \text{if } 1 \leqslant \theta < \infty, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\|\Phi(\sigma)\|_{\tau} \leqslant C \|\sigma\|_{\theta}$$

for all $\sigma \in B_R(W_2^{\theta})$ with C = C(R).

Hence, F is a weakly nonlinear map!!!

We do not know if this result is true for $\theta = 0$.

Proved in

A.M.Savchuk and A.A.Sh // Math. Notes 80, No 6 (2006)

Theorem. $F : \sigma \to \{s_k\}_1^\infty$ is a bijection from W_2^θ into Σ^θ , $\Sigma^\theta = \{\{s_k\} \in \hat{\ell}_2^\theta \mid (IC) \text{ holds}\}.$

This gives a complete solution of the inverse problem in the whole scale of Sobolev spaces W_2^{θ} , $\theta \ge 0$ (in terms if $\sigma(x)$).

It is sufficient to prove that:

a) F is injective for $\theta = 0$,

b) *F* is surjective for $0 \leq \theta < \varepsilon$.

Proved in

A.M.Savchuk and A.A.Sh // Russian J. Math. Ph. **12** No 4 (2005) (in Levitan memorial volume).

For $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ in the other terms independently by R.O.Hryniv and Ya.V.Mykytyuk // Proc.Royal Soc. Eddinburg (2006), arXiv math FA/0406238 (2005).

Let

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r,h}^{\theta} &= \left\{ \{s_k\}_1^{\infty} \in \hat{\ell}_2^{\theta} \mid \| \{s_k\} \|_{\hat{\ell}^{\theta}} \leqslant r, \quad (IC)_h \text{ holds} \right\}, \\ &\quad |\rho_{k+1} - \rho_k| > h \quad \forall \ k \geqslant 1 \quad (IC)_h \end{split}$$
 $\begin{aligned} \textbf{Lemma.} \ F^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r,h}^{\theta}) \subset B_R(W_2^{\theta}), \quad \text{where } R = R(r,h). \end{split}$

Theorem (on global stability). For all $\theta > 0$ (= 0?) the estimate $\|\sigma - \tilde{\sigma}\|_{W^{\theta}}^{2} \leq C \|\{\rho_{k}\} - \{\tilde{\rho}_{k}\}\|_{\hat{\ell}^{\theta}} = C \|\{s_{k}\} - \{\tilde{s}_{k}\}\|_{\hat{\ell}^{\theta}}$ holds provided that $\{s_{k}\}, \ \{\tilde{s}_{k}\} \in \Sigma_{r,h}^{\theta},$

and $C = C(\theta, r, h)$.

The inverse estimate, certainly, also holds.