

Series 1: Solutions

Task 1:

kernel: Let $P \in \mathcal{C}[\lambda]^{p \times q}$, and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{L}(P)$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\Rightarrow P(\frac{d}{dt})z_1 = 0, P(\frac{d}{dt})z_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha P(\frac{d}{dt})z_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\frac{d}{dt})(\alpha z_1 + z_2) = \alpha P(\frac{d}{dt})z_1 + P(\frac{d}{dt})z_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha z_1 + z_2 \in \mathcal{L}(P) \Rightarrow \text{linear}$$

Furthermore, for $z \in \mathcal{L}(P)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$
we have

$$P(\frac{d}{dt})z(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\frac{d}{dt})z(t + \tau) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow z(\cdot + \tau) \in \mathcal{L}(P) \Rightarrow \text{time invariance}$$

image: Let $U \in \mathcal{C}[\lambda]^{q \times m}$ and

$z_1, z_2 \in \text{image}_{\mathcal{C}_\infty} U$, i.e., there
exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{C}_\infty^m$ s.t.

$$z_1 = U(\frac{d}{dt})\alpha_1, \quad z_2 = U(\frac{d}{dt})\alpha_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta z_1 + z_2 = U(\frac{d}{dt}) \underbrace{(\beta \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}_{\in \mathcal{C}_\infty^m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta z_1 + z_2 \in \text{image}_{\mathcal{C}_\infty} U \Rightarrow \text{linear}$$

Furthermore, for $z \in \text{image}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} U$ there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_\infty^{1 \times m}$ such that

$$z(t) = U \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \alpha(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow z(t+z) = U \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \alpha(t+z) = U \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \tilde{\alpha}(t) =: \tilde{z}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow z(t+z) \in \text{image}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} U.$$

\Rightarrow time invariance

2.) For $(y, x, u) = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x \\ u \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty^{l+m+n}$

we have

$$(*) \begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \\ y = Cx + Du \end{cases}$$

is equivalent to

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt}x - Ax - Bu \\ y - Cx - Du \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 + \left(\frac{d}{dt}I - A \right)x - Bu \\ y - Cx - Du \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{d}{dt}I - A & -B \\ I & -C & -D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x \\ u \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus with $P(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda I - A & -B \\ I & -C & -D \end{bmatrix}$

~~is~~ and $z = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x \\ u \end{bmatrix}$ ~~is equivalent~~

$\in \mathcal{C}[\lambda]^{(n+l), l+m+n}$

(*) is equivalent to $P(\frac{d}{dt})z = 0$.

3.) As before set

$$P(\lambda) := [A^2 M + \lambda D + K, -B]$$

and partition $z = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \end{bmatrix}$ so that the system is equivalent to

$$P(\frac{d}{dt})z = 0.$$

Task 2:

A matrix Q is unimodular if and only if

$$\det Q = c \neq 0, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}$$

(see Theorem from lecture).

If P is also unimodular with matching dimension we find

$$\det(PQ) = \underbrace{\det P}_{=d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}} \cdot (\det Q) = d \cdot c \in \mathbb{C},$$

i.e., PQ is unimodular.

Task 4:

1.) If $z \in \mathbb{C}^q$ then so are

$z, z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}^q$. Thus also for $P_k, \dots, P_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$

$$P_k z^{(k)}, \dots, P_1 z^{(1)}, P_0 z \in \mathcal{C}_0^p$$

$$\Rightarrow P_k z^{(k)} + \dots + P_1 z^{(1)} + P_0 z \in \mathcal{C}_0^p$$

Thus for $P(z) = \lambda^k P_k + \dots + \lambda P_1 + P_0$
we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) z &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k P_k z + \dots + \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) P_1 z + P_0 z \\ &= P_k z^{(k)} + \dots + P_1 z^{(1)} + P_0 z \in \mathcal{C}_0^p \end{aligned}$$

2.) Let $P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^k P_i \lambda^i, P_i \in \mathcal{C}^{p \times q}$
 $Q(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^k Q_i \lambda^i, Q_i \in \mathcal{C}^{q \times m}$
 where some P_i, Q_i may be zero.
~~where $\Rightarrow (PQ)(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda^i \sum_{j=0}^i P_{i-j} Q_j$~~

~~$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) Q\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) z &= P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \sum_{i=0}^k Q_i z^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^k P_k \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k \sum_{i=0}^k Q_i z^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^k P_k Q_i z^{(i+k)} \end{aligned}$$~~

$$2.) \text{ Let } P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^K \lambda^i P_i$$

$$Q(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^K \lambda^i Q_i$$

where some of the P_i ~~Q_i~~ $\in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$
 $Q_i \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times m}$ may be zero. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (PQ)(\lambda) &= P(\lambda) \cdot Q(\lambda) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^K \lambda^i P_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=0}^K \lambda^j Q_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^K \lambda^{i+j} P_i Q_j \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) Q\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) z &= P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \sum_{i=0}^K Q_i z^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^K P_j \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^j \sum_{i=0}^K Q_i z^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^K \sum_{i=0}^K P_j Q_i z^{(i+j)} = (PQ)\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) z \end{aligned}$$

3.) Follows from 2 since for unimodular matrices U we have

$$U^{-1}(\lambda) U(\lambda) = (U^{-1}U)(\lambda) = I.$$

Task 5 1.) We have

$$\mathcal{L}_e(SPT) = \left\{ z \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^d \mid \underbrace{S\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) T\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) z}_{=0} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ z \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ T^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \underbrace{T\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) z}_{=y} \mid S^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) S\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) T\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) z = 0 \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ T^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) y \mid P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) y = 0 \right\}$$

$$= T^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \left\{ y \mid P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) y = 0 \right\}$$

$$= T^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \mathcal{L}_e(P)$$

and thus also

$$\mathcal{L}_e(PT) = T^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \mathcal{L}_e(P) \quad | \rightarrow T\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)$$

$$T\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \mathcal{L}_e(PT) = \mathcal{L}_e(P).$$

$$2.) \text{ image}_{\mathcal{E}_\infty} (T^{-1} U S) = \left\{ T^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) S\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^n \right\}$$

$$= T^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \left\{ \underbrace{U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) S\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \alpha}_{=: \beta} \mid \underbrace{S^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) S\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \alpha}_{=: \beta} \in \mathcal{E}_\infty^m \right\}$$

$$= T^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \left\{ U\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \beta \mid \beta = S\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \alpha = S\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \mathcal{E}_\infty^m = \mathcal{E}_\infty^m \right\}$$

Task 6:

" \subseteq " Let $z \in [T_1 \ T_2] \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{L} \end{bmatrix}$, i.e.,

$\exists \alpha \in \mathcal{U}, \beta \in \mathcal{L}$ s.t.

$$z = T_1 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \alpha + T_2 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \beta$$

$$\in T_1 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \mathcal{U} + T_2 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \mathcal{L}$$

" \supseteq " Let $z \in T_1 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \mathcal{U} + T_2 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \mathcal{L}$, i.e.

$$z = T_1 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \alpha + T_2 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \beta \quad \text{with}$$

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{U}, \beta \in \mathcal{L}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{L} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow z = [T_1 \ T_2] \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in [T_1 \ T_2] \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \underline{\underline{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{L} \end{bmatrix}}}$$

To show that

$$T_1 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \mathcal{U} \cap T_2 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \mathcal{L} = \{0\}$$

Let z be with

$$z = T_1 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \alpha = T_2 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \beta,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}, \beta \in \mathcal{L}$.

Let $\tilde{T} \in \mathbb{C}[[\tau]]^{q,q}$ be the inverse of $[T_1 \ T_2]$

Task 7:

1.) We can apply the elementary unimodular transformations as follows:

$$\textcircled{a} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -R & & 1 \\ \mathcal{N} & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\textcircled{b}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ RR & & 1 \\ \mathcal{N} & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\textcircled{c}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ RR & & 1 & \\ \mathcal{N} & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\textcircled{d}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ RR & & 1 & \\ \mathcal{N} & & & -1 \\ & & & R & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & \mathcal{N}+R & R & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\textcircled{e}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & 0 & R & \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\textcircled{f}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In abstract notation we have to apply from the left

$$S(\mathcal{A}) = \begin{bmatrix} \diagup & & & \\ & \diagup & & \\ & & \diagup & \\ & & & \diagup \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & R & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ R & 1 & 0 \\ R & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and from the right

$$T(\mathcal{A}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ -R & -R & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \mathcal{N}+R & \\ & & -R & \mathcal{N} \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \dots = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{R} & \frac{\lambda L}{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R} & -\frac{\lambda L}{R} - 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \lambda L \end{bmatrix}$$

Indeed, it holds

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ R & 1 & 0 \\ R & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{R} & \frac{\lambda L}{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R} & -\frac{\lambda L}{R} - 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \lambda L \end{bmatrix}}_{=T}$$

2.)

Lemma 1.10
 \Rightarrow

$$U(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda L}{R} \\ 1 \\ -\frac{\lambda L}{R} - 1 \\ \lambda L \end{bmatrix} \text{ is the}$$

sought kernel spanning matrix.

3.) With the remarks after the Smith form we see that ~~(since $d_1 = \dots = d_3 = 1$)~~ (since $d_1 = \dots = d_3 = 1$ are non zero constants) that

$$\mathcal{L}(P) = \text{image}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \left(U \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) \right)$$

4.) The Smith form is one possible echelon form.