## Proof for the Smith form

Lemma 1 (Polynomial division). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ be two polynomials with $b \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{deg} a \geq$ $\operatorname{deg} b \geq 0$. Then there exist unique $q, r \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=q b+r \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} b$. If $\operatorname{deg} a \geq \operatorname{deg} b$ we also have $\operatorname{deg} q=\operatorname{deg} a-\operatorname{deg} b$.
Proof. The result follows from division with remainder. If $\operatorname{deg} a<\operatorname{deg} b$ then the statement is trivial. For the other case, we give an inductive proof.

Base case: $\operatorname{deg} a=\operatorname{deg} b$ in this case $a$ and $b$ have the form $a(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{K} a_{i} \lambda^{i}$ and $b(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{K} b_{i} \lambda^{i}$, with $a_{K}, b_{K} \neq 0$. Define $q \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ as a constant $q(\lambda):=\frac{a_{K}}{b_{K}}$. Then

$$
(q b)(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{K}\left(b_{i} \frac{a_{K}}{b_{K}}\right) \lambda^{i}
$$

and thus $r \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ defined by

$$
r(\lambda):=(a-q b)(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{K}\left(a_{i}-b_{i} \frac{a_{K}}{b_{K}}\right) \lambda^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{K-1}\left(a_{i}-b_{i} \frac{a_{K}}{b_{K}}\right) \lambda^{i}
$$

is a polynomial of degree $\leq K-1<K$. Also we have $\operatorname{deg} q=0=K-K=\operatorname{deg} a-\operatorname{deg} b$.
Inductive step: Write $a$ and $b$ in the form $a(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{K+M} a_{i} \lambda^{i}$ and $b(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{K} b_{i} \lambda^{i}$, with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{K+M}, b_{K} \neq 0$. Define $q_{0} \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ by $q_{0}(\lambda):=\lambda^{M} \frac{a_{K+M}}{b_{K}}$. Then

$$
\left(q_{0} b\right)(\lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{K} b_{i} \frac{a_{K+M}}{b_{K}} \lambda^{i+M}=\sum_{i=M}^{K+M} b_{i-M} \frac{a_{K+M}}{b_{K}} \lambda^{i}
$$

and thus $r_{0} \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ defined by

$$
r_{0}(\lambda):=\left(a-q_{0} b\right)(\lambda)=\sum_{i=M}^{K+M}\left(a_{i}-b_{i-M} \frac{a_{K+M}}{b_{K}}\right) \lambda^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} a_{i} \lambda^{i}=\sum_{i=M}^{K+M-1} \ldots+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \ldots
$$

is a polynomial of degree $\leq K+M-1$. Using the induction hypothesis we conclude the existence of $q_{1}, r \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ which fulfill $r_{0}=q_{1} b+r, \operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} b$, and $\operatorname{deg} q_{1}=\operatorname{deg} r_{0}-\operatorname{deg} b=$ $(K+M-1)-K=M-1$. Setting $q:=q_{0}+q_{1}$ we find that

$$
a=q_{0} b+r_{0}=q_{0} b+q_{1} b+r=\left(q_{0}+q_{1}\right) b+r=q b+r .
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg} q_{1}=M-1 \leq \operatorname{deg} q_{0}$ we also have $\operatorname{deg} q=\operatorname{deg} q_{0}=M=(K+M)-K=$ $\operatorname{deg} a-\operatorname{deg} b$.

For uniqueness, let $q, r \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ and $\tilde{q}, \tilde{r} \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ both fulfill (1). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r-\tilde{r})+b(q-\tilde{q})=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q-p$ was nonzero, then $\operatorname{deg} b(q-\tilde{q}) \geq \operatorname{deg} b>\operatorname{deg} r-\tilde{r}$ which contradicts (2). This implies $q=\tilde{q}$ which again by (2) implies $r=\tilde{r}$.

Definition 2. We say that $b \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda], b \neq 0$ divides $a \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ if in Lemma 1 we have $r=0$.

Theorem 3 (Smith canonical form). Let $P \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]^{p, q}$. Then there exists an $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and unimodular matrices $S \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]^{p, p}, T \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]^{q, q}$ such that

$$
P=S\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] T
$$

where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r} \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ are polynomials with $d_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $d_{i+1}$ divides $d_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r-1$.

Proof. (From [PW98, Theorem B.1.4]) The proof is an algorithm. Assume that $P$ is nonzero, since otherwise the statement is trivial. By mindeg $(P)$ we denote the minimal degree of all the nonzero elements of $P$. In the following we are going to apply a series of unimodular pre- and post-multiplications to $P$ until $P$ has Smith form. Since the product of unimodular matrices is again unimodular we have then indeed found the Smith form. To simplify notation we will in the following write $P$, whenever actually the matrix is meant which arises from $P$ by the proclaimed unimodular pre- and post-multiplications. With this convention, the algorithm is finished if $P$ is in Smith form. A will denote the elements of $P$ by $p_{i, j}$.
a) Apply row and column permutations to $P$ to achieve that a nonzero element with degree mindeg $(P)$ appears at the $(1,1)$ position. Using Lemma 1 (with $b=p_{1,1}$ and $a=p_{i, 1}$ ), we obtain $q_{i, 1}$ and $r_{i, 1}$ such that $p_{i, 1}=q_{i, 1} p_{1,1}+r_{i, 1}$ for $i=2, \ldots, p$. Then we have

$$
\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & & \\
-q_{2,1} & 1 & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
-q_{p, 1} & & & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{=: Q_{0}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{1,1} & p_{1,2} & \cdots & p_{1, q} \\
p_{2,1} & p_{2,2} & \cdots & p_{1, q} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
p_{p, 1} & p_{p, 2} & \cdots & p_{p, q}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{1,1} & p_{1,2} & \cdots & p_{1, q} \\
r_{2,1} & \star & \cdots & \star \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
r_{p, 1} & \star & \cdots & \star
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the $\star$-entries denote polynomials which are not further specified and $Q_{0}$ is unimodular. Similar, by a post-multiplication with a unimodular matrix one can achieve that all entries in the $(1, j)$ positions (with $j=2, \ldots, q$ ) have degree smaller than $p_{1,1}$. If we do not have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i, 1}=0 \text { for } i=2, \ldots, p \text { and } p_{1, j}=0 \text { for } j=2, \ldots, q, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\operatorname{mindeg}(P)$ has at least decreased. In this case goto a). If $\operatorname{mindeg}(P)=0$ at the beginning of a), then at the end, condition (3) will be fulfilled in any case. Thus, since degrees (of nonzero polynomials) are nonnegative and mindeg $(P)$ decreases in each repetition of a), we see that (3) is fulfilled after a finite number of steps; then goto b).
b) We have reached the situation (3). Either $p_{1,1}$ divides all the other elements of $P$, or there exists a column that contains an element that is not a multiple of the $(1,1)$ element. If the latter is true, add this column to the first column of $P$ and start again at a). Because of Definition 2 this will decrease mindeg $(P)$ in the first step. Thus, after a finite number of repetitions of b ), we have that the element $p_{1,1}$ divides all other elements, since this holds at the latest when mindeg $(P)=0$.
c) We have reached the situation (3) such the the element $p_{1,1}$ divides all other entries of $P$. Factor out the common divisor from $P$ (call it $d_{1}$ ) such that the $(1,1)$ element of $P$ becomes a nonzero constant. Then, in an inductive fashion, start again at a) with the matrix obtained from $P$ by deleting the first row and column.

One can show that the quantities $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}$ in the Smith form are unique, see [Gan59, p. 139, §3].
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