

## LQ-optimal controller

Although the main Theorem in this section can be formulated in a more general form, we make the following assumption for simplicity:

Assumption 4.8: Let  $\lambda F + G \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]_1^{p, q}$  be such that  $F$  has full row rank and  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda F + G)$  is controllable. Let  $H \in \mathbb{C}^{q, q}$  be Hermitian positive definite:  
 $H = H^* > 0$ .

We need the following lemma, so that we later are able to apply the results from the previous section.

Lemma 4.9:

Under the Assumption 4.8 we have that

$$\mathcal{Z} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \lambda F + G \\ \hline -\lambda F^* + G^* & H \end{array} \right) \cap (i\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset, \text{ i.e., that} \\ =: \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}$$

$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}^*}$  has no purely imaginary ~~eigenvalues~~ <sup>zeros</sup>.

Proof: Assume to the contrary that there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{L}})$  with  $\text{Re}(\lambda_0) = 0$ . By Lemma 1.9, there exist a vector  $\begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \} p \\ \} q \end{matrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{p+q} \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda_0 F + G \\ \hline -\lambda_0 F^* + G^* & H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \lambda_0 F z_0 + g z_0 \quad \text{and} \quad -\lambda_0 F^* \mu_0 + g^* \mu_0 + H z_0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z_0^* H z_0 = +\lambda_0 z_0^* F^* \mu_0 - \underbrace{z_0^* g^* \mu_0}_{=(g z_0)^* = (-\lambda_0 F z_0)^*}$$

$$= \lambda_0 z_0^* F^* \mu_0 + \bar{\lambda}_0 z_0^* F^* \mu_0$$

$$= 2 \underbrace{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_0)}_{=0} z_0^* F^* \mu_0 = 0$$

$$\stackrel{H>0}{\Rightarrow} z_0 = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = (-\lambda F^* + g^*) \mu_0. \quad (*)$$

Since  $F$  has full row rank, Series 3, Task 9 implies that  $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)} (\lambda F + g) = p$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}(\lambda F + g)$  is controllable Theorem 2.13 implies that  $\mathcal{Z}(\lambda F + g) = \emptyset$ .

Thus, Theorem 1.13 implies that  $(\lambda F + g)$  is left prime and thus  $(\lambda F + g)^\sim = (-\lambda F^* + g^*)$  is right prime (Series 5, Task 7).

This, especially means that  $(-\lambda_0 F^* + g^*)$  has full column rank and thus  $(*)$  shows that  $\mu_0 = 0$ . This contradicts  $\begin{bmatrix} \mu_0 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$ . ◻

In the following Theorem we will show the existence of controllers that enforce the optimal behavior (in the sense of (RQ)) on the system.

### Theorem 4.10

Under Assumption 4.8 there exists a  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{p, q}$  such that

1) There exists a  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{q-p, q}$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ z^* & I \end{bmatrix} \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \lambda F + g \\ \hline -\lambda F^* + g^* & H \end{array} \right] \begin{bmatrix} I & z \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \lambda F + g \\ \hline -\lambda F^* + g^* & C^* \cdot C \end{array} \right]$$

2) Every  $C$  as in 1) is a regular, stabilizing controller for  $\mathcal{L}(\lambda F + g)$  which fulfills

a)  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L}) \cap \mathbb{C}_- = \mathcal{Z}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + g \\ C \end{bmatrix}\right)$

b)  $\mathcal{L}_+(\mathcal{L}) = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}_+\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + g \\ C \end{bmatrix}\right)$

c)  $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} F \\ C \end{bmatrix} = q$ .

Proof: Since  $F$  has full row rank there exists an invertible  $V_1$  such that

$FV_1 = [I_p, 0]$ . Partition  $g \cdot V_1 =: \begin{bmatrix} -A & -B \end{bmatrix}$  and  $V_1^* H V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^* & R \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $Q = Q^* \succ 0$ ,  $R = R^* \succ 0$ , accordingly:

Set  $X_1 := \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I_p & \\ \hline & V_1 \end{array} \right]$  and  $X_2 := \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_p & 0 \\ R^{-1} B^* & -R^{-1} S^* & I_{q-p} \end{bmatrix}$  so that

$$X_2^* X_1^* \mathcal{Z}(\lambda) X_1 X_2 = X_2^* \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \lambda(FV_1) + (gV_1) \\ \hline -\lambda(V_1^* F^*) + (V_1^* g^*) & V_1^* H V_1 \end{array} \right] X_2$$

$$= X_2^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda I - A & -B \\ \lambda I - A^* & Q & S \\ -B^* & S^* & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_p & 0 \\ R^{-1} B^* & -R^{-1} S^* & I_{q-p} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 & BR^{-1} \\ 0 & I_p & -SR^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & I_{q-p} \end{bmatrix} \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} \underbrace{-BR^{-1}B^*}_{=: M_{11}} & \underbrace{\lambda I - A + BR^{-1}S^*}_{=: M_{12}} & -B \\ \hline \underbrace{-\lambda I - A^* + SR^{-1}B^*}_{=: M_{21} = M_{12}^*} & \underbrace{Q - SR^{-1}S^*}_{=: M_{22}} & S \\ \hline 0 & 0 & R \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} M_{11} & \lambda I + M_{12} & 0 \\ \hline -\lambda I + M_{12}^* & M_{22} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & R \end{array} \right]$$

By Lemma 4.9 we have that

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{Z} \left( \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & \lambda I + M_{12} \\ -\lambda I + M_{12}^* & M_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right) \cup \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}(R)}_{= \emptyset}$$

has no purely imaginary zeros. Thus, we can use the para Hermitian Schur form (Theorem 4.7), to deduce the existence of a unitary  $V_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{2p, 2p}$  such that with

$$X_3 := \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} V_3 & \\ \hline & I_{q-p} \end{array} \right] \text{ and } X_1 X_2 X_3 =: \tilde{Y} =: \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \gamma_1 & \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\ \hline \gamma_3 & \tilde{\gamma}_4 \end{array} \right] \begin{matrix} p \\ q \\ p \\ q \end{matrix}$$

we have

$$\tilde{Y}^* \mathcal{Z}(\lambda) \tilde{Y} = X_3^* (X_2^* X_1^* \mathcal{Z}(\lambda) X_1 X_2) X_3 = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} K & \lambda I + L & 0 \\ \hline -\lambda I + L^* & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & R \end{array} \right]$$

(2)

Let  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{q,q}$  be unitary such that  $\gamma_4 := \tilde{\gamma}_4 \cdot W$  is Hermitian:  $\gamma_4 = \gamma_4^*$  (B) (Existence of  $W$ : Homework) and set  $\gamma_2 := \tilde{\gamma}_2 W$  so that

$$\gamma := \tilde{\gamma} \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} I_p & \\ \hline & W \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 & \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\gamma^* \gamma(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 & \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} K & (\lambda[I, 0] + [L, 0])W \\ \hline W^* (-\lambda \begin{bmatrix} I & \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} L^* & \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix}) & W^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} W \end{array} \right]$$

$$=: \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} K & \lambda \hat{F} + \hat{G} \\ \hline -\lambda \hat{F}^* + \hat{G}^* & \hat{H} \end{array} \right] \quad (\gamma)$$

To show that  $\gamma_4$  is invertible assume to the contrary that there exists a  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{q,c}$ ,  $c > 0$  with orthonormal columns  $U^* U = I$  such that  $\text{Ker}(\gamma_4) = \text{image}(U)$ . (5)

From the second block column in  $(\gamma)$  we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \hat{F} + \hat{G} \\ \hat{H} \end{bmatrix} U = \gamma^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda F + G \\ -\lambda F^* + G^* & H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix} U \quad (\epsilon)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1^* & \gamma_3^* \\ \gamma_2^* & \gamma_4^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ (-\lambda F^* + G^*) \gamma_2 U \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 U \\ \gamma_4 U \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_3^* \\ \gamma_4^* \end{bmatrix} (-\lambda F^* + G^*) \gamma_2 U \quad \text{which implies (by only considering the lower block equation in } (\epsilon))$$

$$U^* \hat{H} U = U^* \underbrace{\gamma_4^*}_{=(\gamma_4 U)^*} (-\lambda F^* + G^*) \gamma_2 U = 0.$$

Since  $0 \leq \hat{H}$  there exists an  $\hat{L}$  such that  $\hat{L}^* \hat{L} = \hat{H}$  which means that for every  $y \in \mathbb{C}^e$  we have

$$0 = y^* U^* \hat{H} U y = \|\hat{L} U y\|_2^2 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{L} U y = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{L}^* \hat{L} U y = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{H} U y = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{H} U = 0.$$

This gives <sup>2<sup>nd</sup> block equation</sup> of

$$0 = \hat{H} U \stackrel{(\epsilon)}{=} \gamma_4^* (-\lambda F^* + G^*) \gamma_2 U \stackrel{(\beta)}{=} \lambda (-\gamma_4 F^* \gamma_2 U) + \gamma_4 G^* \gamma_2 U$$

or in other words

$$0 = -\gamma_4 F^* \gamma_2 U \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \gamma_4 G^* \gamma_2 U$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{image}(-F^* \gamma_2 U) \subseteq \text{Kernel } \gamma_4 \stackrel{(\delta)}{=} \text{image}(U)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{image}(G^* \gamma_2 U) \subseteq \text{Kernel } \gamma_4 \stackrel{(\delta)}{=} \text{image}(U).$$

Thus there exist  $\tilde{E}, \tilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{e,e}$  such that

$$-F^* \gamma_2 U = U \tilde{E} \quad \text{and} \quad G^* \gamma_2 U = U \tilde{A}. \quad \text{(\xi)}$$

Since  $\gamma \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $U$  both have full column rank

also  $\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix} U = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 U \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and thus also

$\gamma_2 U$  has full column rank. ( $\eta$ )

Since  $F$  is assumed to have full row rank we have that  $F^*$  has full column rank and thus (by ( $\eta$ )) also

$F^* \gamma_2 U$  has full column rank.

rank  $\leq$  number of cols

Then from

$$e = \text{rank}(F^* \gamma_2 U) \stackrel{(\mathcal{F})}{=} \text{rank}(U \tilde{E}) \leq \text{rank}(\tilde{E}) \leq e$$

we find that  $\tilde{E}$  is invertible.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } \mathcal{Z}(\lambda \tilde{E} + \tilde{A}) &= \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{E}(\lambda I + \tilde{E}^{-1} \tilde{A})) \\ &= \mathcal{Z}(\lambda I + \tilde{E}^{-1} \tilde{A}) = \sigma(-\tilde{E}^{-1} \tilde{A}) \neq \emptyset \end{aligned} \quad e > 0$$

there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda \tilde{E} + \tilde{A})$  and (with Lemma 1.9) a  $v_0 \in \mathbb{C}^e \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $0 = (\lambda_0 \tilde{E} + \tilde{A}) v_0$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = U(\lambda_0 \tilde{E} + \tilde{A}) v_0 \stackrel{(\mathcal{F})}{=} (-\lambda_0 F^* + G^*) \underbrace{\gamma_2 U v_0}_{=: w_0 \neq 0}$$

$F^*$  has full column rank

This means  $\text{rank}(-\lambda_0 F^* + G^*) < p \stackrel{(\mathcal{G})}{=} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(s)}(-\lambda F^* + G^*)$

Theorem 2.13, since  $\mathcal{L}(\lambda F + G)$  is controllable

Lemma 1.9

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(-\lambda F^* + G^*) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 4.1}}{=} -\mathcal{Z}(\lambda F + G) = \emptyset$$

$\Rightarrow \gamma_u$  is invertible.

This implies that also

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 & \gamma_u \end{bmatrix}}_{=: \gamma} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\gamma_u^{-1} \gamma_3 & \gamma_u^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \gamma_u^{-1} \gamma_3 & \gamma_2 \gamma_u^{-1} \\ \hline 0 & I \end{array} \right] \quad (\text{Schur complement})$$

is invertible, and thus  $(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \gamma_u^{-1} \gamma_3)$  is invertible,

and thus setting

$$\hat{\gamma} := \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\gamma_u^{-1} \gamma_3 & \gamma_u^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \gamma_u^{-1} \gamma_3)^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & \gamma_u^{-1} \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_1 & 0 \\ \hat{\gamma}_3 & \hat{\gamma}_u \end{bmatrix} \quad (\mathcal{H})$$

we have

$$\gamma \hat{\gamma} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{I} & \gamma_2 \gamma_u^{-1} \\ \hline 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{array} \right] =: \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{I} & z \\ \hline 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{array} \right] \text{ and}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ \hline z^* & \mathbf{I} \end{array} \right] \partial \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{I} & z \\ \hline 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{array} \right] = \hat{\gamma}^* (\gamma^* \partial \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \gamma) \hat{\gamma}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \hat{\gamma}^* \begin{bmatrix} K & \lambda \hat{F} + \hat{S} \\ -\lambda \hat{F} + \hat{S} & \hat{H} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_1 & 0 \\ \hat{\gamma}_3 & \hat{\gamma}_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_1^* & \hat{\gamma}_3^* \\ 0 & \hat{\gamma}_4^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} * & \lambda \hat{F} \hat{\gamma}_4 + \hat{S} \hat{\gamma}_4 \\ * & \hat{H} \hat{\gamma}_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ * & \hat{\gamma}_4^* \hat{H} \hat{\gamma}_4 \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{S} + \tilde{K} & \lambda \tilde{F} + \tilde{S} \\ -\lambda \tilde{F} + \tilde{S}^* & \hat{\gamma}_4^* \hat{H} \hat{\gamma}_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{S} = -\tilde{S}^*$  and  $\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}^*$ , since the matrix polynomial (2) is still para-Hermitian.

Looking only at the coefficient of  $\lambda$  in (2) we find  $\textcircled{3}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ z^* & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F \\ -F^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & z \\ 0 & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{S} & \tilde{F} \\ -\tilde{F}^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ z^* & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F \\ -F^* & -F^* z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F \\ -F^* & z^* F - F^* z \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\Rightarrow \tilde{S} = 0, \tilde{F} = F, 0 = zF^* - F^*z$$

and looking only at the constant term in (2) we find

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ z^* & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & g \\ g^* & H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & z \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{R} & \tilde{g} \\ \tilde{g}^* & \hat{\gamma}_u^* \hat{H} \hat{\gamma}_u \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ z^* & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & g \\ g^* & H + g^*z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & g \\ g^* & H + g^*z + z^*g \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\circ \Rightarrow \tilde{R} = 0, \tilde{g} = g, \hat{\gamma}_u^* \hat{H} \hat{\gamma}_u = H + g^*z + z^*g$$

Computing a Cholesky factorization of  $0 < R = L^*L$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_u^* \hat{H} \hat{\gamma}_u &= \hat{\gamma}_u^* W^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} W \hat{\gamma}_u = \hat{\gamma}_u^* W^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} L & 0 \end{bmatrix} W \hat{\gamma}_u}_{=: C} \\ &= C^* C \quad (K) \end{aligned}$$

and thus (2) reads

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ z^* & I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} I & z \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \lambda F + g \\ \hline -\lambda F^* + g^* & C^* C \end{array} \right]$$

which means that 1.) is shown.

For 2.) let  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{(q-p), q}$  be any matrix with  $\hat{\gamma}_u^* \hat{H} \hat{\gamma}_u = C^* C$ .

Let  $D \in \mathbb{C}^{p, q}$  be such that  $[C^*, D^*]$  is invertible

(C has full row rank, since  $\text{rank } \hat{\gamma}_u^* \hat{H} \hat{\gamma}_u = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} = q-p$ )

and observe that

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I \\ [C^*, 0^*] \end{bmatrix}}_{=: C_1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + g \\ [C] \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + g \\ C^* C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + g \\ \hat{\gamma}_u^* \hat{A} \hat{\gamma}_u \end{bmatrix} \quad (A)$$

second block column in (A), with  $\hat{F} = F, \hat{g} = g$

$$= \hat{\gamma}^* \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \hat{F} + \hat{g} \\ \hat{A} \end{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_u \quad \begin{matrix} \text{second block} \\ \text{column in (A)} \end{matrix} = \hat{\gamma}^* \begin{bmatrix} [\lambda I + L, 0] W \\ W^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} W \end{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_u$$

$$\underbrace{\hat{\gamma}^* \begin{bmatrix} I \\ W^* \end{bmatrix}}_{=: W_1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I + L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} W \hat{\gamma}_u \\ =: W_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{=: W_2} \quad \text{where } C_1, W_1, W_2 \text{ are invertible.}$$

C is regular: We have

$$\text{rank } C = \text{rank } C^* C = \text{rank } W^{-*} \hat{\gamma}_u^{-*} C^* C \hat{\gamma}_u^{-1} W^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{(K)}{=} \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank } R \stackrel{R > 0}{=} q - p \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(s)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + g \\ C \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{(A)}{=} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(s)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I + L & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} = p + (q - p)$$

F has full row rank

$$\stackrel{\vee}{=} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(s)} (\lambda F + g) + \text{rank } C$$

C is stabilizing: We have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(s)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + g \\ C \end{bmatrix} = \text{"regular"} = p + (q - p) = q, \text{ i.e., that}$$

$\mathcal{L}_e \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + g \\ C \end{bmatrix} \right)$  is autonomous and

$$\mathcal{Z}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + G \\ c \end{bmatrix}\right) \stackrel{(\alpha)}{=} \mathcal{Z}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda I + L & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda I + L)}_{\subseteq \mathbb{C}_-} \cup \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}(R)}_{= \emptyset} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_-$$

by Theorem 4.7

a): We have

$$\mathcal{Z}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + G \\ c \end{bmatrix}\right) = \dots = \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda I + L)}_{\subseteq \mathbb{C}_-}$$

$$= \left[ \mathcal{Z}(\lambda I + L) \cup \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}(-\lambda I + L^*)}_{\subseteq \mathbb{C}_+} \right] \cap \mathbb{C}_-$$

Lemma 4.1

$$= \left[ \mathcal{Z}(\lambda I + L) \cup \mathcal{Z}(-\lambda I + L^*) \right] \cap \mathbb{C}_-$$

$$= \mathcal{Z}\left(\begin{bmatrix} K & \lambda I + L & 0 \\ -\lambda I + L^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R \end{bmatrix}\right) \cap \mathbb{C}_-$$

$$\stackrel{(\alpha)}{=} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R}) \cap \mathbb{C}_-$$

b): We have

$$\mathcal{L}_+(\mathcal{R}) \stackrel{(\alpha)}{=} \mathcal{L}_+(\tilde{\gamma}^{-*} \begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}) = \tilde{\gamma} \mathcal{L}_+\left(\begin{bmatrix} K & -\lambda I + L & 0 \\ -\lambda I + L^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \tilde{\gamma} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} \mid z_1 \in \mathcal{L}_+(-\lambda I + L^*) = \{0\}, \left(\frac{d}{dt} I + L\right) z_2 + K z_1 = 0, \right. \\ \left. z_3 \in \mathcal{L}_+(R) = \{0\} \right\}$$

$R > 0$

$$= \tilde{Y} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ z_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid z_2 \in \mathcal{L}_+ (\lambda I + L) \right\}$$

$$= \tilde{Y} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid \tilde{z}_2 \in \mathcal{L}_+ \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I + L & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \underbrace{\tilde{Y} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\gamma_1 & \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\ \gamma_3 & \tilde{\gamma}_4}} \mathcal{L}_+ \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I + L & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \right) \stackrel{(A)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\ \tilde{\gamma}_4 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}_+ \left( W_1^{-1} C_1 \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + G \\ C \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} W_2^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\ \gamma_3 & \tilde{\gamma}_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\ \tilde{\gamma}_4 \end{bmatrix}}_{W_2} \mathcal{L}_+ \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + G \\ C \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}_+ \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + G \\ C \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

$$\stackrel{(A)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\ \tilde{\gamma}_4 \end{bmatrix} W \tilde{\gamma}_4 \stackrel{(B)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\gamma}_4^{-1} \stackrel{(C)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_4 \end{bmatrix} \gamma_4^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 \gamma_4^{-1} \\ I \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{(D)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ I \end{bmatrix} = \cancel{\begin{bmatrix} z \\ I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}_+ \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda F + G \\ C \end{bmatrix} \right)}$$

