# 3.4 Anticycling

In this section we discuss two pivoting rules that are guaranteed to avoid cycling. These are

- the lexicographic rule
- and Bland's rule.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin)

Linear and Integer Programming (ADM |

WS 2007/08

35 / 64

# Lexicographic order

#### Definition

- A vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is *lexicographically positive* (negative) if  $u \neq 0$  and the first nonzero entry of u is positive (negative). Symbolically, we write  $u \stackrel{L}{>} 0$  (resp.  $u \stackrel{L}{<} 0$ ).
- A vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is lexicographically larger (smaller) than a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $u \neq v$  and u v > 0 (resp. u v < 0). We write u > v (resp. u < v).

### Example

$$(0,2,3,0)^T \stackrel{L}{>} (0,2,1,4)^T$$

$$(0,4,5,0)^T \stackrel{L}{<} (1,2,1,2)^T$$

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin)

inear and Integer Programming (ADM I

MC 2007/00

26 / 64

# The lexicographic pivoting rule

We describe the lexicographic pivoting rule in the full tableau implementation.

#### Lexicographic pivoting rule

- **1** Choose an arbitrary column  $A_j$  with  $\overline{c}_j < 0$  to enter the basis. Let  $u := B^{-1}A_i$  be the jth column of the tableau.
- ② For each i with  $u_i > 0$ , divide the ith row of the tableau by  $u_i$  and choose the lexicographically smallest row  $\ell$ . Then the  $\ell$ th basic variable  $x_{B(\ell)}$  exits the basis.

#### Remark

The lexicographic pivoting rule always leads to a unique choice for the exiting variable. Otherwise two rows of  $B^{-1}A$  would have to be linearly dependent which contradicts our assumption on the matrix A.

# The lexicographic pivoting rule (cont.)

#### Theorem

Suppose that the simplex algorithm starts with lexicographically positive rows  $1, \ldots, m$  in the simplex tableau. Suppose that the lexicographic pivoting rule is followed. Then:

- Rows 1,..., m of the simplex tableau remain lexicographically positive throughout the algorithm.
- ② The zeroth row strictly increases lexicographically at each iteration.
- The simplex algorithm terminates after a finite number of iterations.

Proof.

See eChalk...

# Remarks on the lexicographic pivoting rule

- The lexicographic pivoting rule was derived by considering s small perturbation of the right hand side vector b leading to a nondegenerate problem (see exercises).
- The lexicographic pivoting rule can also be used in conjunction with the revised simplex method, provided that  $B^{-1}$  is computed explicitly (this is not the case in sophisticated implementations).
- The assumption in the theorem on the lexicographically positive rows in the tableau can be made without loss of generality: Rearrange the columns of A such that the basic columns (forming the identity matrix in the tableau) come first. Since the zeroth column is nonnegative for a basic feasible solution, all rows are lexicographically positive.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin)

Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

WS 2007/08

## 3.5 Finding an initial basic feasible solution

So far we always assumed that the simplex algorithm starts with a basic feasible solution. In this section we discuss how such a solution can be obtained.

- Introducing artificial variables
- The two-phase simplex method
- The big-M method

#### Bland's rule

#### Smallest subscript pivoting rule (Bland's rule)

- Choose the column  $A_i$  with  $\overline{c}_i < 0$  and j minimal to enter the basis.
- 2 Among all basic variables  $x_i$  that could exit the basis, select the one with smallest i.

#### Theorem (without proof)

The simplex algorithm with Bland's rule terminates after a finite number of iterations.

#### Remark

Bland's rule is compatible with an implementation of the revised simplex method in which the reduced costs of the nonbasic variables are computed one at a time, in the natural order, until a negative one is discovered.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin) Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

### Introducing artificial variables

#### Example LP

min 
$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$
  
s.t.  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 3$   
 $-x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3 = 2$   
 $4x_2 + 9x_3 = 5$   
 $3x_3 + x_4 = 1$   
 $x_1, \dots, x_4 > 0$ 

# Auxiliary problem with artificial variables

min 
$$x_5 + x_6 + x_7 + x_8$$
  
s.t.  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$   $x_5 = 3$   
 $-x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3$   $+ x_6 = 2$   
 $4x_2 + 9x_3$   $+ x_7 = 5$   
 $3x_3 + x_4$   $+ x_8 = 1$   
 $x_1, \dots, x_4, x_5, \dots, x_8 \ge 0$ 

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin)

# The auxiliary problem

# Auxiliary problem with artificial variables

min s.t. 
$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$$
  $x_5 + x_6 + x_7 + x_8$  = 3   
 $-x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3$   $+x_6 + x_7 = 2$  = 2   
 $4x_2 + 9x_3$   $+x_7 = 5$    
 $3x_3 + x_4 + x_8 = 1$    
 $x_1, \dots, x_8 \ge 0$ 

#### Observation

x = (0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 2, 5, 1) is a basic feasible solution for this problem with basic variables  $(x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8)$ . We can form the initial tableau.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin) Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

WS 2007/08 43 / 64

# Minimizing the auxiliary problem

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7	<i>X</i> 8
	-11	0	-8	-21	-1	0	0	0	0
$x_5 =$	3	1	2	3	0	1	0	0	0
$x_6 =$	2	-1	2	6	0	0	1	0	0
$x_7 =$	5	0	4	9	0	0	0	1	0
$x_8 =$	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1

Basis change:  $x_4$  enters the basis,  $x_8$  exits.

# Forming the initial tableau

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7	<i>X</i> 8
	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
<b>–</b> 3	- 1	<b>- 2</b>	<b>–</b> 3	0	0	1	1	1	<b>–</b> 5
0	<b>– 4</b>	<b>- 9</b>	0	0	0	1	1	<b>- 10</b>	0
<b>-8</b>	- 18	0	0	0	0	1	-11	0	-8
-21	-1	0	0	0	0				,
$x_5 =$	3	1	2	3	0	1	0	0	0
$x_6 =$	2	- 1	2	6	0	0	1	0	0
$x_7 =$	5	0	4	9	0	0	0	1	0
$x_8 =$	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1

Calculate reduced costs by eliminating the nonzero-entries for the basis-variables.

Now we can proceed as seen before...

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin)

Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

WS 2007/08 44 / 64

# Minimizing the auxiliary problem

		$x_1$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7	<i>x</i> <sub>8</sub>
	-10	0	-8	-18	0	0	0	0	1
$x_5 =$	3	1	2	3	0	1	0	0	0
$x_6 =$	2	-1	2	6	0	0	1	0	0
$x_7 =$	5	0	4	9	0	0	0	1	0
$x_4 =$	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1

Basis change:  $x_3$  enters the basis,  $x_4$  exits.

# Minimizing the auxiliary problem

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7	<i>x</i> <sub>8</sub>
					6				
$x_5 =$	2	1	2	0	-1	1	0	0	-1
$x_6 =$	0	-1	2	0	-1 -2 -3	0	1	0	-2
$x_7 =$	2	0	4	0	-3	0	0	1	-3
$x_3 =$	1/3	0	0	1	1/3	0	0	0	1/3

Basis change:  $x_2$  enters the basis,  $x_6$  exits.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin) Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

WS 2007/08 47 / 64

# Minimizing the auxiliary problem

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7	<i>x</i> <sub>8</sub>
	-4	-4	0	0	-2	0	4	0	-1
$x_5 =$	2	2	0	0	1	1	-1	0	1
$x_2 =$	0	-1/2	1	0	-1	0	1/2	0	-1
$x_7 =$	2	0	0	1	0	-2	1	1	1
$x_3 =$	1/3	0	0	1	1/3	0	0	0	1/3

Basis change:  $x_1$  enters the basis,  $x_5$  exits.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin) Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

# Minimizing the auxiliary problem

		$x_1$	$x_2$	<i>X</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>5</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>7</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>8</sub>
	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1
$x_1 =$	1	1	0	0	1/2	1/2	-1/2	0	1/2
$x_2 =$	1/2	0	1	0	-3/4	1/4	1/4	0	-3/4
$x_7 =$	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	1	0
$x_3 =$	1/3	0	0	1	1/3	0	0	0	1/3

Basic feasible solution for auxiliary problem with (auxiliary) cost value 0 ⇒ Also feasible for the original problem - but not (yet) basic.

# Getting a basis for the original problem

		$x_1$	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>5</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>7</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>8</sub>
	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1
$x_1 =$	1	1	0	0	1/2	1/2	-1/2	0	1/2
$x_2 = $	1/2	0	1	0	-3/4	1/4	1/4	0	-3/4
$x_7 = $	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	1	0
$x_3 = $	1/3	0	0	1	1/3	0	0	0	1/3

#### Observation

Restricting the tableau to the original variables, we get a zero-row. Thus the original equations are linearily dependent.

 $\rightarrow$  We can remove the third row.

# Getting a basis for the original problem

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4
	*	*	*	*	*
-11/6	0	0	0	-1/12	,
$x_1 =$	1	1	0	0	1/2
$x_2 =$	1/2	0	1	0	-3/4
$x_3 =$	1/3	0	0	1	1/3

We finally obtain a basic feasible solution for the original problem. After computing the reduced costs for this basis (as seen in the beginning), the simplex method can start with its typical iterations.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin)

Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

WS 2007/08

Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

## Phase I of the simplex method

**Given:** LP in standard form: min  $c^Tx$  s.t. Ax = b, x > 0

- **1** Transform problem such that b > 0 (multiply constraints by -1).
- 2 Introduce artificial variables  $y_1, \ldots, y_m$  and solve auxiliary problem

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \quad \text{s.t. } Ax + I_m y = b, \ x, y \ge 0 \ .$$

- **1** If optimal cost is positive, then STOP (original LP is infeasible).
- If no artificial variable is in final basis, eliminate artificial variables and columns and STOP (feasible basis for original LP has been found).
- **5** If  $\ell$ th basic variable is artificial, find  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  with  $\ell$ th entry in  $B^{-1}A_i$  nonzero. Use this entry as pivot element and replace  $\ell$ th basic variable with  $x_i$ .
- **6** If no such  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  exists, eliminate  $\ell$ th row (constraint).

### Omitting artificial variables

## Auxiliary problem

min 
$$x_5 + x_6 + x_7 + x_8$$
  
s.t.  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$   $x_5 = 3$   
 $-x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3$   $+x_6 = 2$   
 $4x_2 + 9x_3$   $+x_7 = 5$   
 $3x_3 + x_4$   $+x_8 = 1$   
 $x_1, \dots, x_8 \ge 0$ 

Artificial variable  $x_8$  could have been omitted by setting  $x_4$  to 1 in the initial basis. This is possible as  $x_4$  does only appear in one constraint. Generally, this can be done e.g. with all slack variables that have nonnegative right hand sides.

WS 2007/08

## The two-phase simplex method

#### Two-phase simplex method

- Given an LP in standard from, first run phase I.
- 2 If phase I yields a basic feasible solution for the original LP, enter "phase II" (see above).

# Possible outcomes of the two-phase simplex method

- Problem is infeasible (detected in phase I).
- Problem is feasible but rows of A are linearly dependent (detected and corrected at the end of phase I by eliminating redundant constraints.)
- **3** Optimal cost is  $-\infty$  (detected in phase II).
- Problem has optimal basic feasible solution (found in phase II).

Remark: (2) is not an outcome but only an intermediate result leading to outcome (3) or (4).

# The big-*M* method

Alternative idea: Combine the two phases into one by introducing sufficiently large penalty costs for artificial variables.

This way, the LP

min 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$
  
s.t.  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

becomes

min 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i + M \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j$$
  
s.t.  $Ax + y = b$ .  
 $x, y \ge 0$ 

If M is sufficiently large and the original program has a feasible solution, all artificial variables will be driven to zero by the simplex method.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin) Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

WS 2007/08 55 / 64

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin)

Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)

WS 2007/08 56 / 64

# Example

#### Example LP

min 
$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$
  
s.t.  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 3$   
 $-x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3 = 2$   
 $4x_2 + 9x_3 = 5$   
 $3x_3 + x_4 = 1$   
 $x_1, \dots, x_4 \ge 0$ 

#### How to choose M?

#### Observation

Initially, *M* only occurs in the zeroth row. As the zeroth row never becomes pivot row, this property is maintained while the simplex method is running.

All we need to have is an order on all values that can appear as reduced cost coefficients.

#### Order on cost coefficients

$$aM + b < cM + d :\Leftrightarrow (a < c) \lor (a = c \land b < c)$$

In particular, -aM + b < 0 < aM + b for any positive a and arbitrary b, and we can decide whether a cost coefficient is negative or not.

 $\rightarrow$  There is no need to give M a fixed numerical value.

## Introducing artificial variables and M

### Auxiliary problem

min 
$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$
  $+ Mx_5 + Mx_6 + Mx_7$   
s.t.  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$   $x_5$   $= 3$   
 $-x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3$   $+ x_6$   $= 2$   
 $4x_2 + 9x_3$   $+ x_7 = 5$   
 $3x_3 + x_4$   $= 1$   
 $x_1, \dots, x_7 \ge 0$ 

Note that this time the unnecessary artificial variable  $x_8$  has been omitted.

We start off with  $(x_5, x_6, x_7, x_4) = (3, 2, 5, 1)$ .

# Forming the initial tableau

	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>5</sub>
0	1	1	1	0	M
-M + 1	-2M + 1	-3M + 1	0	0	M
-4M + 1	-9M + 1	0	0	0	M-10M
-18M + 1	0	0	0	0 - 10M	1
0	0	0	0		
3	1	2	3	0	1
2	<b>– 1</b>	2	6	0	0
5	0	4	9	0	0
1	0	0	3	1	0

Compute reduced costs by eliminating the nonzero entries for the basic variables.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin) Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II) WS 2007/08 59 / 64

### Second iteration

	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7
-4M - 1/3	1	-8M + 1	0	6M - 1/3	0	0	0
2	1	2	0	-1	1	0	0
0	-1	2	0	-2	0	1	0
2	0	4	0	-3	0	0	1
1/3	0	0	1	1/3	0	0	0

Basis change:  $x_2$  enters the basis,  $x_6$  leaves.

#### First iteration

	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7
-10M	1	-8M + 1	-18M + 1	0	0	0	0
3	1	2	3	0	1	0	0
2	-1	2	6	0	0	1	0
5	0	4	9	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0

Reduced costs for  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are negative.

Basis change:  $x_3$  enters the basis,  $x_4$  leaves.

Martin Skutella (TU Berlin)	Linear and Integer Programming (ADM II)	WS 2007/08 60 / 64
-----------------------------	---	--------------------

#### Third iteration

	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7
-4M - 1/3	-4M + 3/2	0	0	-2M + 2/3	0	4M - 1/2	0
2	2	0	0	1	1	-1	0
0	-1/2	1	0	-1	0	1/2	0
2	2	0	0	1	0	-2	1
1/3	0	0	1	1/3	0	0	0

Basis change:  $x_1$  enters the basis,  $x_5$  leaves.

#### Fourth iteration

	$x_1$	<i>X</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>5</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7
-11/6	0	0	0	-1/12	2M - 3/4	2M + 1/4	0
21	1	0	0	1/2	1/2	-1/2	0
1/2	0	1	0	-3/4	1/4	1/4	0
0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	1
1/3	0	0	1	1/3	0	0	0

Note that all artificial variables have already been driven to 0.

Basis change:  $x_4$  enters the basis,  $x_3$  leaves.

### Fifth iteration

	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>X</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	<i>x</i> 5	<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	<i>X</i> 7
-7/4	0	0	1/4	0	2M - 3/4	2M + 1/4	0
1/2	1	0	-3/2	0	1/2	-1/2	0
5/4	0	1	9/4	0	1/4	1/4	0
0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	1
1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0

We now have an optimal solution of the auxiliary problem, as all costs are nonnegative (M presumed large enough).

By elimiating the third row as in the previous example, we get a basic feasible and also optimal solution to the original problem.