

---

# On the Maximal Number of Facets of 0/1 Polytopes\*

D. Gatzouras<sup>1</sup>, A. Giannopoulos<sup>2</sup>, and N. Markoulakis<sup>3\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Agricultural University of Athens, Mathematics, Iera Odos 75, 118 55 Athens, Greece [gatzoura@aua.gr](mailto:gatzoura@aua.gr)

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 157 84, Athens, Greece [aggiannop@math.uoa.gr](mailto:aggiannop@math.uoa.gr)

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Crete, Heraklion 714 09, Crete, Greece [math2002@math.uoc.gr](mailto:math2002@math.uoc.gr)

**Summary.** We show that there exist 0/1 polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose number of facets exceeds  $(cn/(\log n))^{n/2}$ , where  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $P$  be a polytope with non-empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We write  $f_{n-1}(P)$  for the number of its  $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces. Consider the class of 0/1 polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; these are the convex hulls of subsets of  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . In this note we obtain a new lower bound for the quantity

$$g(n) := \max \{f_{n-1}(P_n) : P_n \text{ is a 0/1 polytope in } \mathbb{R}^n\}. \quad (1.1)$$

The problem of determining the correct order of growth of  $g(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  was posed by Fukuda and Ziegler (see [Fu], [Z]). It is currently known that  $g(n) \leq 30(n-2)!$  if  $n$  is large enough (see [FKR]). In the other direction, Bárány and Pór in [BP] determined that  $g(n)$  is superexponential in  $n$ : they obtained the lower bound

$$g(n) \geq \left(\frac{cn}{\log n}\right)^{n/4}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant. In [GGM] we showed that

$$g(n) \geq \left(\frac{cn}{\log^2 n}\right)^{n/2}. \quad (1.3)$$

---

\* The project is co-funded by the European Social Fund and National Resources – (EPEAEK II) “Pythagoras II”.

\*\* Supported by the Greek State Scholarships Foundation.

A more recent observation allows us to remove one logarithmic factor from the estimate in (1.3).

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$g(n) \geq \left( \frac{cn}{\log n} \right)^{n/2}. \quad (1.4)$$

The method of proof of Theorem 1.1 is probabilistic and has its origin in the work of Dyer, Füredi and McDiarmid [DFM]. The proof is essentially the same with the one in [GGM], which in turn is based on [BP], with the exception of a different approach to one estimate, summarized in Proposition 3.1 below. We consider random  $\pm 1$  polytopes (i.e., polytopes whose vertices are independent and uniformly distributed vertices  $\mathbf{X}_i$  of the unit cube  $C = [-1, 1]^n$ ). We fix  $n < N \leq 2^n$  and consider the random polytope

$$K_N = \text{conv}\{\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_N\}. \quad (1.5)$$

Our main result is a lower bound on the expectation  $\mathbb{E}[f_{n-1}(K_N)]$  of the number of facets of  $K_N$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *There exist two positive constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that: for all sufficiently large  $n$ , and all  $N$  satisfying  $n^a \leq N \leq \exp(bn)$ , one has that*

$$\mathbb{E}[f_{n-1}(K_N)] \geq \left( \frac{\log N}{a \log n} \right)^{n/2}. \quad (1.6)$$

The same result was obtained in [GGM] under the restriction  $N \leq \exp(bn/\log n)$ . This had a direct influence on the final estimate obtained, leading to (1.3).

The note is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly describe the method (the presentation is not self-contained and the interested reader should consult [BP] and [GGM]). In Section 3 we present the new technical step (it is based on a more general lower estimate for the measure of the intersection of a symmetric polyhedron with the sphere, which might be useful in similar situations). In Section 4 we use the result of Section 3 to extend the range of  $N$ 's for which Theorem 1.2 holds true. Theorem 1.1 easily follows.

We work in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is equipped with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We denote by  $\|\cdot\|_2$  the Euclidean norm and write  $B_2^n$  for the Euclidean unit ball and  $S^{n-1}$  for the unit sphere. Volume, surface area, and the cardinality of a finite set, are all denoted by  $|\cdot|$ . We write  $\partial(F)$  for the boundary of  $F$ . All logarithms are natural. Whenever we write  $a \simeq b$ , we mean that there exist absolute constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that  $c_1 a \leq b \leq c_2 a$ . The letters  $c, c', c_1, c_2$  etc. denote absolute positive constants, which may change from line to line.

## 2 The Method

The method makes essential use of two families  $(Q^\beta)$  and  $(F^\beta)$  ( $0 < \beta < \log 2$ ) of convex subsets of the cube  $C = [-1, 1]^n$ , which were introduced by Dyer, Füredi and McDiarmid in [DFM]. We briefly recall their definitions. For every  $\mathbf{x} \in C$ , set

$$q(\mathbf{x}) := \inf \{ \text{Prob}(\mathbf{X} \in H) : \mathbf{x} \in H, H \text{ is a closed halfspace} \}. \quad (2.1)$$

The  $\beta$ -center of  $C$  is the convex polytope

$$Q^\beta = \{ \mathbf{x} \in C : q(\mathbf{x}) \geq \exp(-\beta n) \}. \quad (2.2)$$

Next, define  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1+x) \log(1+x) + \frac{1}{2}(1-x) \log(1-x) \quad (2.3)$$

if  $x \in (-1, 1)$  and  $f(\pm 1) = \log 2$ , and for every  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C$  set

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i). \quad (2.4)$$

Then,  $F^\beta$  is defined by

$$F^\beta = \{ \mathbf{x} \in C : F(\mathbf{x}) \leq \beta \}. \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $f$  is a strictly convex function on  $(-1, 1)$ ,  $F^\beta$  is convex.

When  $\beta \rightarrow \log 2$  the convex bodies  $Q^\beta$  and  $F^\beta$  tend to  $C$ . The main tool for the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the fact that the two families  $(Q^\beta)$  and  $(F^\beta)$  are very close, in the following sense.

**Theorem 2.1.** (i)  $Q^\beta \cap (-1, 1)^n \subseteq F^\beta$  for every  $\beta > 0$ .  
 (ii) There exist  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$  and  $n_0 = n_0(\gamma) \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following property: If  $n \geq n_0$  and  $4 \log n/n \leq \beta < \log 2$ , then

$$F^{\beta-\varepsilon} \cap \gamma C \subseteq Q^\beta \quad (2.6)$$

for some  $\varepsilon \leq 3 \log n/n$ .

Part (i) of Theorem 2.1 was proved in [DFM]. Part (ii) was proved in [GGM] and strengthens a previous estimate from [BP].

Fix  $n^8 \leq N \leq 2^n$  and define  $\alpha = (\log N)/n$ . The family  $(Q^\beta)$  is related to the random polytope  $K_N$  through a lemma from [DFM] (the estimate for  $\varepsilon$  claimed below is checked in [GGM]): If  $n$  is sufficiently large, one has that

$$\text{Prob}(K_N \supseteq Q^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) > 1 - 2^{-(n-1)} \quad (2.7)$$

for some  $\varepsilon \leq 3 \log n/n$ .

Combining (2.7) with Theorem 2.1, one gets the following.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $n^8 \leq N \leq 2^n$  and  $n \geq n_0(\gamma)$ . Then,*

$$\text{Prob}(K_N \supseteq F^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \cap \gamma C) > 1 - 2^{-(n-1)} \quad (2.8)$$

for some  $\varepsilon \leq 6 \log n/n$ .

Bárány and Pór proved that  $K_N$  is weakly sandwiched between  $F^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \cap \gamma C$  and  $F^{\alpha+\delta}$  in the sense that  $K_N \supseteq F^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \cap \gamma C$  and most of the surface area of  $F^{\alpha+\delta} \cap \gamma C$  is outside  $K_N$  for small positive values of  $\delta$  (the estimate for  $\delta$  given below is checked in [GGM]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\alpha < \log 2 - 12n^{-1}$ , then*

$$\text{Prob}(|\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C \cap K_N| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C|) \leq \frac{1}{100}. \quad (2.9)$$

for some  $\delta \leq 6/n$ .

We will also need the following geometric lemma from [BP].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$  and assume that  $\beta + \zeta < \log 2$ . Then,*

$$|\partial(F^{\beta+\zeta}) \cap \gamma C \cap H| \leq (3\zeta n)^{(n-1)/2} |S^{n-1}| \quad (2.10)$$

for every closed halfspace  $H$  whose interior is disjoint from  $F^\beta \cap \gamma C$ .

The strategy of Bárány and Pór (which is also followed in [GGM] and in the present note) is that for a random  $K_N$  and for each halfspace  $H_A$  which is defined by a facet  $A$  of  $K_N$  and has interior disjoint from  $K_N$ , we also have that  $H_A$  has interior disjoint from  $F^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \cap \gamma C$  (from Lemma 2.2) and hence cuts a small amount (independent from  $A$ ) of the surface of  $\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C$  (from Lemma 2.4). Since the surface area of  $\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C$  is mostly outside  $K_N$  (from Lemma 2.3) we see that the number of facets of  $K_N$  must be large, depending on the total surface of  $\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C$ . We will describe these steps more carefully in the last Section. First, we give a new lower bound for  $|\partial(F^\beta) \cap \gamma C|$ .

### 3 An Additional Lemma

The new element in our argument is the next Proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exists  $r > 0$  with the following property: for every  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and for all  $n \geq n_0(\gamma)$  and  $\beta < c(\gamma)/r$  one has that*

$$|\partial(F^\beta) \cap \gamma C| \geq c(\gamma)^{n-1} (2\beta n)^{(n-1)/2} |S^{n-1}|, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $c(\gamma) > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* We first estimate the product curvature  $\kappa(\mathbf{x})$  of the surface  $F(\mathbf{x}) = \beta$ : in [GGM] it is proved that if  $\beta < \log 2$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \gamma C$  with  $F(\mathbf{x}) = \beta$ , then

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(\mathbf{x})} \geq (1 - \gamma^2)^{n-1} (2\beta n)^{(n-1)/2}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in S^{n-1}$  and write  $\mathbf{x}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \beta)$  for the point on the boundary of  $F^\beta$  for which  $n \nabla F(\mathbf{x}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \beta))$  is a positive multiple of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . This point is well-defined and unique if  $0 < \beta < |\text{supp } \boldsymbol{\theta}| (\log 2)/n$  (see [BP, Lemma 6.2]).

Let  $r > 0$  be an absolute constant (which will be suitably chosen) and set

$$M_r = \{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in S^{n-1} : \sqrt{n/r} \boldsymbol{\theta} \in C\}. \tag{3.3}$$

The argument given in [BP, Lemma 6.3] shows that if  $\beta < c_1(\gamma)/r$ , then for every  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_r$  we have  $\mathbf{x}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \beta) \in \gamma C$ . Also, we easily check that for every  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_r$  the condition  $|\text{supp } \boldsymbol{\theta}| \geq n/r$  is satisfied, and hence, if  $\beta < c_1(\gamma)/r$  then  $\mathbf{x}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \beta)$  is well-defined and unique. We will estimate the measure of  $M_r$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *There exists  $r > 0$  such that: if  $n \geq 3$  then*

$$|M_r| \geq e^{-n/2} |S^{n-1}|. \tag{3.4}$$

*Proof.* Write  $\gamma_n$  for the standard Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\sigma_n$  for the rotationally invariant probability measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . We use the following fact.

**Fact 3.3.** *If  $K$  is a symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \sigma_n(S^{n-1} \cap \frac{1}{2}K) \leq \gamma_n(\sqrt{n}K) \leq \sigma_n(S^{n-1} \cap eK) + e^{-n/2}. \tag{3.5}$$

*Proof of Fact 3.3.* A proof appears in [KV]. We sketch the proof of the right hand side inequality (which is the one we need). Observe that

$$\sqrt{n}K \subseteq (\frac{1}{e}\sqrt{n}B_2^n) \cup C(\frac{1}{e}\sqrt{n}S^{n-1} \cap \sqrt{n}K) \tag{3.6}$$

where, for  $A \subseteq \frac{1}{e}\sqrt{n}S^{n-1}$ , we write  $C(A)$  for the positive cone generated by  $A$ . It follows that

$$\gamma_n(\sqrt{n}K) \leq \gamma_n(\frac{1}{e}\sqrt{n}B_2^n) + \sigma(\frac{1}{e}\sqrt{n}S^{n-1} \cap \sqrt{n}K) \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\sigma$  denotes the rotationally invariant probability measure on  $\frac{1}{e}\sqrt{n}S^{n-1}$ . Now

$$\sigma(\frac{1}{e}\sqrt{n}S^{n-1} \cap \sqrt{n}K) = \sigma_n(S^{n-1} \cap eK), \tag{3.8}$$

and a direct computation shows that

$$\gamma_n(\rho\sqrt{n}B_2^n) \leq (\rho\sqrt{e})^n e^{-\rho^2 n/2} \tag{3.9}$$

for all  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ . It follows that

$$\gamma_n\left(\frac{1}{e}\sqrt{n}B_2^n\right) \leq \exp(-n/2). \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.7)–(3.10) we get the Fact.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* Observe that

$$M_r = S^{n-1} \cap e\left(\sqrt{r/(e^2n)}C\right). \quad (3.11)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|M_r|}{|S^{n-1}|} &= \sigma_n(M_r) = \sigma_n\left(S^{n-1} \cap e\left(\sqrt{r/(e^2n)}C\right)\right) \\ &\geq \gamma_n\left(\left(\sqrt{r}/e\right)C\right) - e^{-n/2} \\ &= d\left(\sqrt{r}/e\right)^n - e^{-n/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-s}^s e^{-t^2/2} dt. \quad (3.12)$$

Observe that  $2e^{-n/2} < e^{-n/4}$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Choose  $r > 0$  so that

$$d\left(\sqrt{r}/e\right) > e^{-1/4}; \quad (3.13)$$

this is possible, since  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} d(s) = 1$ . Then,

$$d\left(\sqrt{r}/e\right)^n > 2e^{-n/2} \quad (3.14)$$

for  $n \geq 3$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

We can now finish the proof of Proposition 3.1. Writing  $\mathbf{x}$  for  $\mathbf{x}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \beta)$  and expressing surface area in terms of product curvature (cf. [S, Theorem 4.2.4]), we can write

$$|\partial(F^\beta) \cap \gamma C| \geq \int_{M_r} \frac{1}{\kappa(\mathbf{x})} d\boldsymbol{\theta} \geq e^{-n/2} (1 - \gamma^2)^{n-1} (2\beta n)^{(n-1)/2} |S^{n-1}|, \quad (3.15)$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

**A General Version of Lemma 3.2.** The method of proof of Lemma 3.2 provides a general lower estimate for the measure of the intersection of an arbitrary symmetric polyhedron with the sphere. Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  be non-zero vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and consider the symmetric polyhedron

$$T = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \{x : |\langle x, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle| \leq 1\}. \quad (3.16)$$

The following theorem of Sidák (see [Si]) gives an estimate for  $\gamma_n(T)$ .

**Fact 3.4 (Sidák's lemma).** *If  $T$  is the symmetric polyhedron defined by (3.16) then*

$$\gamma_n(T) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \gamma_n(\{x : |\langle x, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle| \leq 1\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m d\left(\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2}\right). \quad (3.17)$$

We will also use an estimate which appears in [Gi].

**Fact 3.5.** *There exists an absolute constant  $\lambda > 0$  such that, for any  $t_1, \dots, t_m > 0$ ,*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m d\left(\frac{1}{t_i}\right) \geq \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m t_i^2\right). \quad (3.18)$$

Consider the parameter  $R = R(T)$  defined by

$$R^2(T) = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2^2. \quad (3.19)$$

Let  $s > 0$ . Fact 3.4 shows that

$$\gamma_n(sT) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m d\left(\frac{s}{\|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2}\right). \quad (3.20)$$

Then, Fact 3.5 shows that

$$\gamma_n(sT) \geq \exp(-\lambda R^2(T)/s^2) \geq e^{-n/4} \geq 2e^{-n/2}, \quad (3.21)$$

provided that  $n \geq 3$  and

$$s \geq \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}R(T)}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (3.22)$$

We then apply Fact 3.3 for the polyhedron  $K = (s/\sqrt{n})T$  to get

$$\sigma_n\left(S^{n-1} \cap \frac{es}{\sqrt{n}}T\right) \geq \exp(-\lambda R^2(T)/s^2) - \exp(-n/2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp(-\lambda R^2(T)/s^2). \quad (3.23)$$

In other words, we have proved the following.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  and let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  be non-zero vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the symmetric polyhedron*

$$T = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \{x : |\langle x, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle| \leq 1\},$$

and define

$$R^2(T) = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2^2.$$

Then, for all  $t \geq cR(T)/\sqrt{n}$  we have that

$$\sigma_n(S^{n-1} \cap (t/\sqrt{n})T) \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp(-cR^2(T)/t^2), \quad (3.24)$$

where  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant.

#### 4 Proof of the Theorems

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  be the constant in Theorem 2.1. Assume that  $n$  is large enough and set  $b = c(\gamma)/(2r)$ , where  $c(\gamma) > 0$  is the constant in Proposition 3.1.

Given  $N$  with  $n^8 \leq N \leq \exp(bn)$ , let  $\alpha = (\log N)/n$ . From Lemma 2.2 there exists  $\varepsilon \leq 6 \log n/n$  such that

$$K_N \supseteq F^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \cap \gamma C \quad (4.1)$$

with probability greater than  $1 - 2^{-n+1}$ , and from Lemma 2.3 there exists  $\delta \leq 6/n$  such that

$$|(\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C) \setminus K_N| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C| \quad (4.2)$$

with probability greater than  $1 - 10^{-2}$ . We assume that  $K_N$  satisfies both (4.1) and (4.2) (this holds with probability greater than  $\frac{1}{2}$ ).

We apply Lemma 2.4 with  $\beta = \alpha - \varepsilon$  and  $\zeta = \varepsilon + \delta$ : If  $A$  is a facet of  $K_N$  and  $H_A$  is the corresponding halfspace which has interior disjoint from  $K_N$ , then

$$|\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C \cap H_A| \leq (3n(\varepsilon + \delta))^{(n-1)/2} |S^{n-1}|. \quad (4.3)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n-1}(K_N) (3n(\varepsilon + \delta))^{(n-1)/2} |S^{n-1}| &\geq \sum_A |\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C \cap H_A| \\ &\geq |(\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C) \setminus K_N| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} |\partial(F^{\alpha+\delta}) \cap \gamma C|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha \leq b = c(\gamma)/(2r)$  and  $\delta \leq 6/n$ , we have  $\alpha + \delta \leq c(\gamma)/r$  if  $n$  is large enough. Applying Proposition 3.1 with  $\beta = \alpha + \delta$ , we get

$$f_{n-1}(K_N) (3n(\varepsilon + \delta))^{(n-1)/2} \geq \left( c(\gamma) \sqrt{2\alpha n} \right)^{n-1}, \quad (4.4)$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Since  $\alpha n = \log N$  and  $(\varepsilon + \delta)n \leq 12 \log n$ , this shows that

$$f_{n-1}(K_N) \geq \left( \frac{c_1(\gamma) \log N}{\log n} \right)^{n/2} \quad (4.5)$$

with probability greater than  $\frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We can apply Theorem 1.2 with  $N \geq \exp(bn)$  where  $b > 0$  is an absolute constant. This shows that there exist 0/1 polytopes  $P$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$f_{n-1}(P) \geq \left( \frac{cn}{\log n} \right)^{n/2}, \quad (4.6)$$

as claimed.  $\square$



## References

- [BP] Bárány, I., Pór, A.: On 0 – 1 polytopes with many facets. *Adv. Math.*, **161**, 209–228 (2001)
- [DFM] Dyer, M.E., Füredi, Z., McDiarmid, C.: Volumes spanned by random points in the hypercube. *Random Structures Algorithms*, **3**, 91–106 (1992)
- [FKR] Fleiner, T., Kaibel, V., Rote, G.: Upper bounds on the maximal number of faces of 0/1 polytopes. *European J. Combin.*, **21**, 121–130 (2000)
- [Fu] Fukuda, K.: Frequently Asked Questions in Polyhedral Computation. Available at <http://www.ifor.math.ethz.ch/staff/fukuda/polyfaq/polyfaq.html>
- [GGM] Gatzouras, D., Giannopoulos, A., Markoulakis, N.: Lower bound for the maximal number of facets of a 0/1 polytope. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **34**, 331–349 (2005)
- [Gi] Giannopoulos, A.: On some vector balancing problems. *Studia Math.*, **122**, 225–234 (1997)
- [KV] Klartag, B., Vershynin, R.: Small ball probability and Dvoretzky theorem. *Israel J. Math.*, to appear
- [S] Schneider, R.: Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, **44**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)
- [Si] Sidák, Z.: On multivariate normal probabilities of rectangles: their dependence on correlation. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **39**, 1425–1434 (1968)
- [Z] Ziegler, G.M.: Lectures on 0/1 polytopes. *Polytopes — Combinatorics and Computation* (G. Kalai and G.M. Ziegler, eds.), pp. 1–44. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel (2000)