

# Gram's Equation – A Probabilistic Proof

EMO WELZL

Institut für Informatik  
Freie Universität Berlin  
Takustr. 9, 14195 Berlin, Germany  
e-mail: emo@inf.fu-berlin.de

If  $\beta_v$  are the angles at the vertices  $v$ ,  $v \in V$ , of a convex  $n$ -gon in the plane, then  $\sum_{v \in V} \beta_v = (n-2)\pi$  – known as Euclid's angle-sum equation. We normalize the full angle to 1, i.e. we set  $\alpha_v = \beta_v/(2\pi)$ . Then the equation reads as

$$\sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v = \frac{n}{2} - 1. \quad (1)$$

This identity has a generalization to higher dimensions, called Gram's equation. We want to derive this identity by a probabilistic argument. Let us immediately start with the proof, the identity will emerge quite naturally.

Consider a convex polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  in 3-space, with vertex set  $V$ , edge set  $E$  and face set  $F$ . For a vertex  $v$ , let  $\alpha_v$  denote the fraction of an infinitesimally small sphere centered at  $v$  that is contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Similarly, for an edge  $e$ , let  $\alpha_e$  denote the fraction of an infinitesimally small sphere centered at a point in the relative interior of  $e$  that is contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Let us now investigate a random parallel orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e. we choose a random point uniformly distributed on  $S^2$ , and we project  $\mathcal{P}$  in the direction specified by this point into a plane orthogonal to the direction. The projection is a convex polygon. What is the expected number of vertices we get? The probability that a vertex  $v$  will not project to a vertex in the projected polygon is  $2\alpha_v$ . Thus the expected number of vertices is

$$\sum_{v \in V} (1 - 2\alpha_v). \quad (2)$$

Similarly, the expected number of edges in the projection is

$$\sum_{e \in E} (1 - 2\alpha_e). \quad (3)$$

Now, since the number of vertices equals the number of edges, (2) equals (3) and we have the equation

$$\sum_{v \in V} \alpha_v - \sum_{e \in E} \alpha_e = \frac{|V| - |E|}{2} = -\frac{|F|}{2} + 1. \quad (4)$$

The formula was known to de Gua (1783) for the case of a tetrahedron. Hopf attributes the result for 3-polytopes to Brianchon (1837), while Grünbaum refers to Gram [4] for a first proof of the result. Grünbaum reports that Gram's paper was forgotten and that meanwhile Dehn [2] and Poincaré [8] contributed to the subject.

Hopf gave another simple proof of the identity. He uses the angle-sum equation for spherical triangles (which we somehow replaced by the probabilistic argument).

The formula generalizes to arbitrary dimensions (see e.g. [5]). For a  $d$ -polytope, let  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ , be the sum of all solid angles at  $i$ -faces (defined as above for 0- and 1-faces). In particular,  $\alpha_d = 1$ , and  $\alpha_{d-1}$  is half the number  $f_{d-1}$ , where  $f_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , denotes the number of  $i$ -faces of the polytope ( $f_d = 1$ ). Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i \alpha_i = 0 \quad (5)$$

which looks similar to Euler's formula

$$\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i f_i = 1, \quad (6)$$

or

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-2} (-1)^i \alpha_i = (-1)^d \left( \frac{f_{d-1}}{2} - 1 \right)$$

to show the similarities to equations (1) and (4) in 2- and 3-space, respectively. The probabilistic argument readily generalizes to a proof of the higher dimensional result. Using arguments as above for the expected number of  $i$ -faces in a random projection, by the linearity of expectation and by applying Euler's formula in  $d-1$ -space, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-2} (-1)^i (f_i - 2\alpha_i) + (-1)^{d-1} = 1.$$

We rearrange sums

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i f_i \right) - (-1)^{d-1} f_{d-1} - (-1)^d f_d \\ & - 2 \left( \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i \right) + 2(-1)^{d-1} \alpha_{d-1} + 2(-1)^d \alpha_d + (-1)^{d-1} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

After cancelling terms via  $\alpha_{d-1} = f_{d-1}/2$ ,  $\alpha_d = 1$  and  $f_d = 1$ , we invoke Euler's formula (6) in  $d$  dimensions to obtain (5).

A proof of (5) is indicated also by Edelsbrunner in [3] (Remark 3, Section 6). Barnette [1] uses very similar arguments (although in a different terminology)

to prove a tight bound of

$$\alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( f_i - \binom{d}{i+1} \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq d-2.$$

The proof exploits the fact that for every projection of a  $d$ -polytope the number of  $i$ -faces is at least  $\binom{d}{i+1}$ .

## References

- [1] D. Barnette, The sum of the solid angles of a  $d$ -polytope, *Geometriae Dedicata* **1** (1972) 100–102
- [2] M. Dehn, Die Eulersche Formel in Zusammenhang mit dem Inhalt in der nicht-Euklidischen Geometrie, *Math. Ann.* **61** (1905) 561–586
- [3] H. Edelsbrunner, The union of balls and its dual shape, *Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Annual ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry* (1993) 218–231
- [4] J. P. Gram, Om Rumvinklerne i et Polyeder, *Tidsskr. Math.* **4** (1874), 161–163
- [5] B. Grünbaum, *Convex Polytopes*, John Wiley & Sons, Interscience, London (1967)
- [6] de Gua de Malves, Propositions neuves, et non moins utiles que curieuses, sur le tétraèdre, *Hist. Acad. R. des Sci.*, Paris (1783)
- [7] H. Hopf, Über Zusammenhänge zwischen Topologie und Metrik im Rahmen der elementaren Geometrie, *Math. Physik. Sem. Ber.* **3** (1953) 16–29
- [8] H. Poincaré, Sur la generalization d'un theoreme élémentaire de Geometrie, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris* **140** (1905) 113–117