

Asymptotic analysis for optimal estimating functions for a class of stochastic volatility models with jumps

Petra Posedel

Zagreb School of Economics and Management, Croatia

Joint work with Friedrich Hubalek, Technische Universität Vienna

Junior female researchers in probability
Berlin and Postdam, October 10-11, 2013

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 The simple explicit estimator
 - Estimating functions
 - The explicit solution
 - The general framework
- 3 Optimal estimating functions
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 The simple explicit estimator
 - Estimating functions
 - The explicit solution
 - The general framework
- 3 Optimal estimating functions
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 The simple explicit estimator
 - Estimating functions
 - The explicit solution
 - The general framework
- 3 Optimal estimating functions
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 The simple explicit estimator
 - Estimating functions
 - The explicit solution
 - The general framework
- 3 Optimal estimating functions
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

Inference for BNS stochastic volatility models

- In the discretely observed Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) setting we explore the joint distribution of spot prices X and the instantaneous variance V supposing that both X and V can be observed
- inference by the ***martingale estimating function approach*** leads to an **explicit** estimator
- ***consistency*** and ***asymptotic normality*** of the simple explicit estimator are shown

The BNS model

Continuous time model

$$dX(t) = (\mu + \beta V(t-))dt + \sqrt{V(t-)}dW(t) + \rho dZ_\lambda(t), \quad X(0) = 0.$$

and

$$dV(t) = -\lambda V(t-)dt + dZ_\lambda(t), \quad V(0) = V_0,$$

where $\mu, \beta, \rho, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda > 0$. $Z = (Z_t)$ is the BDLP $Z_\lambda(t) = Z(\lambda t)$. V_0 has a self-decomposable distribution corresponding to the BDLP s. t. the process V is strictly stationary and

$$E[V_0] = \zeta, \quad \text{Var}[V_0] = \eta.$$

Assumption: $E[V_0^n] < \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

True for Γ -OU, IG-OU,

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 The simple explicit estimator
 - Estimating functions
 - The explicit solution
 - The general framework
- 3 Optimal estimating functions
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

Discretely observed continuous time model

Observing X and V on a discrete grid of points in time, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$, we obtain:

$$V(t_i) = V(t_{i-1})e^{-\lambda(t_i - t_{i-1})} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} e^{-\lambda(t_i - s)} dZ_\lambda(s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}) &= \mu(t_i - t_{i-1}) + \beta(Y(t_i) - Y(t_{i-1})) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sqrt{V(s-)} dW(s) \\ &+ \rho(Z_\lambda(t_i) - Z_\lambda(t_{i-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where $Y(t) = \int_0^t V(s-) ds$.

Discretely observed continuous time model

Observing X and V on a discrete grid of points in time, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$, we obtain:

$$V(t_i) = V(t_{i-1})e^{-\lambda(t_i - t_{i-1})} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} e^{-\lambda(t_i - s)} dZ_\lambda(s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}) &= \mu(t_i - t_{i-1}) + \beta(Y(t_i) - Y(t_{i-1})) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sqrt{V(s-)} dW(s) \\ &+ \rho(Z_\lambda(t_i) - Z_\lambda(t_{i-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where $Y(t) = \int_0^t V(s-) ds$.

Discretely observed continuous time model

Observing X and V on a discrete grid of points in time, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$, we obtain:

$$V(t_i) = V(t_{i-1})e^{-\lambda(t_i-t_{i-1})} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} e^{-\lambda(t_i-s)} dZ_\lambda(s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}) &= \mu(t_i - t_{i-1}) + \beta(Y(t_i) - Y(t_{i-1})) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sqrt{V(s-)} dW(s) \\ &+ \rho(Z_\lambda(t_i) - Z_\lambda(t_{i-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where $Y(t) = \int_0^t V(s-) ds$.

Discretely observed continuous time model

Introducing

$$X_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), \quad Y_i = Y(t_i) - Y(t_{i-1}), \quad Z_i = Z_\lambda(t_i) - Z_\lambda(t_{i-1}),$$

$$W_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y_i}} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sqrt{V(s-)} dW(s) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1),$$

and an auxiliary quantity $U(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dZ_\lambda(s)$,

using $t_k = k$ and $V_i = V(t_i)$ we have

$$V_i = \gamma V_{i-1} + U_i$$

$$X_i = \mu + \beta Y_i + \sqrt{Y_i} W_i + \rho Z_i,$$

where $\gamma = e^{-\lambda}$, $U_i = U(t_i) - U(t_{i-1})$, (U_i, Z_i) i.i.d

Discretely observed continuous time model

Introducing

$$X_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), \quad Y_i = Y(t_i) - Y(t_{i-1}), \quad Z_i = Z_\lambda(t_i) - Z_\lambda(t_{i-1}),$$

$$W_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y_i}} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sqrt{V(s-)} dW(s) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1),$$

and an auxiliary quantity $U(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dZ_\lambda(s)$,

using $t_k = k$ and $V_i = V(t_i)$ we have

$$V_i = \gamma V_{i-1} + U_i$$

$$X_i = \mu + \beta Y_i + \sqrt{Y_i} W_i + \rho Z_i,$$

where $\gamma = e^{-\lambda}$, $U_i = U(t_i) - U(t_{i-1})$, (U_i, Z_i) i.i.d

Discretely observed continuous time model

Introducing

$$X_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), \quad Y_i = Y(t_i) - Y(t_{i-1}), \quad Z_i = Z_\lambda(t_i) - Z_\lambda(t_{i-1}),$$

$$W_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y_i}} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sqrt{V(s-)} dW(s) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1),$$

and an auxiliary quantity $U(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dZ_\lambda(s)$,

using $t_k = k$ and $V_i = V(t_i)$ we have

$$V_i = \gamma V_{i-1} + U_i$$

$$X_i = \mu + \beta Y_i + \sqrt{Y_i} W_i + \rho Z_i,$$

where $\gamma = e^{-\lambda}$, $U_i = U(t_i) - U(t_{i-1})$, (U_i, Z_i) i.i.d

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 **The simple explicit estimator**
 - **Estimating functions**
 - The explicit solution
 - The general framework
- 3 Optimal estimating functions
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

Martingale estimating functions

- Suppose that $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are observations from a model with a d -dimensional parameter $\theta \in \Theta$.
- an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is obtained solving the equation

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; \theta) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $G_n(\theta)$ is a d -dim estimating function of the parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- Among the class of unbiased or Fisher consistent estimating functions, we will analyze those estimating functions that are *martingales*.

Martingale estimating functions

- Suppose that $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are observations from a model with a d -dimensional parameter $\theta \in \Theta$.
- an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is obtained solving the equation

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; \theta) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $G_n(\theta)$ is a d -dim estimating function of the parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- Among the class of unbiased or Fisher consistent estimating functions, we will analyze those estimating functions that are *martingales*.

Martingale estimating functions

- Suppose that $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are observations from a model with a d -dimensional parameter $\theta \in \Theta$.
- an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is obtained solving the equation

$$G_n(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; \theta) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $G_n(\theta)$ is a d -dim estimating function of the parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- Among the class of unbiased or Fisher consistent estimating functions, we will analyze those estimating functions that are ***martingales***.

Estimating equations

Let $\theta = (\lambda, \zeta, \eta, \beta, \rho, \mu)^\top$, $\mathbf{X} = (X, V)$.

We consider the following 6 martingale estimating functions:

$$G_n^1(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [V_i - E(V_i | V_{i-1})], \quad G_n^2(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [V_i V_{i-1} - V_{i-1} E(V_i | V_{i-1})],$$

$$G_n^3(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [V_i^2 - E(V_i^2 | V_{i-1})], \quad G_n^4(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i - E(X_i | V_{i-1})],$$

$$G_n^5(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i V_{i-1} - V_{i-1} E(X_i | V_{i-1})], \quad G_n^6(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i V_i - E(X_i V_i | V_{i-1})].$$

Estimating equations

Let $\theta = (\lambda, \zeta, \eta, \beta, \rho, \mu)^\top$, $\mathbf{X} = (X, V)$.

We consider the following 6 martingale estimating functions:

$$G_n^1(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [V_i - E(V_i | V_{i-1})], \quad G_n^2(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [V_i V_{i-1} - V_{i-1} E(V_i | V_{i-1})],$$

$$G_n^3(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [V_i^2 - E(V_i^2 | V_{i-1})], \quad G_n^4(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i - E(X_i | V_{i-1})],$$

$$G_n^5(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i V_{i-1} - V_{i-1} E(X_i | V_{i-1})], \quad G_n^6(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i V_i - E(X_i V_i | V_{i-1})].$$

The specifications for $G_n^1 - G_n^6$ belong to the more general class of martingale estimating functions of the form

$$G_n^j(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^j(V_{i-1}; \theta) [X_i^{r_j} \cdot V_i^{s_j} - f^j(V_{i-1}; \theta)], \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $\alpha^j(V_{i-1})$ is some \mathcal{F}_{i-1} -adapted random variable,

$$f^j(v, \theta) = E[X_1^{r_j} V_1^{s_j} | V_0 = v]$$

and we have

$$f^j(v; \theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{r_j+s_j} \phi_l^j(\theta) \cdot v^l.$$

Remark

- for the simple explicit estimator $\alpha^j(v) = v$ or $\alpha^j(v) = 1$ which gives explicit $G_n(\theta)$, the explicit solution of $G_n(\theta) = 0$ and the explicit $Cov(\hat{\theta}_n)$.
- for **optimal** estimating functions $\alpha^j(v)$ are rational in v which gives explicit $G_n(\theta)$, explicit $Cov(\hat{\theta}_n)$ but must solve $G_n(\theta) = 0$ numerically
- in this setting the problem of finding the resulting estimator explicitly amounts to **solving d explicitly given equations**.

Remark

- for the simple explicit estimator $\alpha^j(v) = v$ or $\alpha^j(v) = 1$ which gives explicit $G_n(\theta)$, the explicit solution of $G_n(\theta) = 0$ and the explicit $Cov(\hat{\theta}_n)$.
- for **optimal** estimating functions $\alpha^j(v)$ are rational in v which gives explicit $G_n(\theta)$, explicit $Cov(\hat{\theta}_n)$ but must solve $G_n(\theta) = 0$ numerically
- in this setting the problem of finding the resulting estimator explicitly amounts to **solving d explicitly given equations.**

Remark

- for the simple explicit estimator $\alpha^j(v) = v$ or $\alpha^j(v) = 1$ which gives explicit $G_n(\theta)$, the explicit solution of $G_n(\theta) = 0$ and the explicit $Cov(\hat{\theta}_n)$.
- for **optimal** estimating functions $\alpha^j(v)$ are rational in v which gives explicit $G_n(\theta)$, explicit $Cov(\hat{\theta}_n)$ but must solve $G_n(\theta) = 0$ numerically
- in this setting the problem of finding the resulting estimator explicitly amounts to **solving d explicitly given equations.**

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 **The simple explicit estimator**
 - Estimating functions
 - **The explicit solution**
 - The general framework
- 3 Optimal estimating functions
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

The simple estimator $\hat{\theta}_n = (\lambda_n, \zeta_n, \eta_n, \beta_n, \rho_n, \mu_n)$ is given by

$$\lambda_n = \log \left[\frac{M_{V_0^2}^n - (M_{V_0}^n)^2}{M_{V_1 V_0}^n - M_{V_1}^n M_{V_0}^n} \right], \quad \zeta_n = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda_n}} [M_{V_1}^n - e^{-\lambda_n} M_{V_0}^n],$$

$$\eta_n = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\lambda_n}} [(M_{V_1^2}^n - (M_{V_1}^n)^2) - e^{-2\lambda_n} (M_{V_0^2}^n - (M_{V_0}^n)^2)],$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{M_{X_1 V_0}^n - M_{X_1}^n M_{V_0}^n}{M_{Y_1 V_0}^n - M_{Y_1}^n M_{V_0}^n}, \quad \mu_n = M_{X_1}^n - \beta_n M_{Y_1}^n - \rho_n M_{Z_1}^n,$$

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{M_{Z_1 V_1}^n - M_{Z_1}^n M_{V_1}^n} [M_{X_1 V_1}^n - M_{X_1}^n M_{V_1}^n - \beta_n (M_{Y_1 V_1}^n - M_{Y_1}^n M_{V_1}^n)],$$

$$M_{V_0}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_{i-1}, \quad M_{V_0^2}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_{i-1}^2,$$

$$M_{X_1 V_0}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i V_{i-1}, \quad M_{V_1}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i, \quad M_{V_1 V_0}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_{i-1},$$

$$M_{V_1^2}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i^2, \quad M_{X_1}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad M_{X_1 V_1}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i V_i,$$

$$M_{Z_1}^n = \zeta_n \lambda_n, \quad M_{Z_1 V_1}^n = e^{-\lambda_n} \lambda_n \zeta_n M_{V_0}^n + (1 - e^{-\lambda_n})(2\eta_n + \lambda_n \zeta_n^2),$$

$$M_{Y_1}^n = \zeta_n - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (M_{V_1}^n - M_{V_0}^n), \quad M_{Y_1 V_0}^n = \zeta_n M_{V_0}^n - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (M_{V_1 V_0}^n - M_{V_0^2}^n),$$

$$M_{Y_1 V_1}^n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (M_{Z_1 V_1}^n - M_{V_1^2}^n + M_{V_1 V_0}^n).$$

Consistency and asymptotic normality

Theorem

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_n = (\lambda_n, \zeta_n, \eta_n, \beta_n, \rho_n, \mu_n)$ is **consistent**,

$$\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta_0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_n = (\lambda_n, \zeta_n, \eta_n, \beta_n, \rho_n, \mu_n)$ is **asymptotically normal**,

$$\sqrt{n}[\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, T), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where T can be calculated **explicitly**.

Consistency and asymptotic normality

Theorem

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_n = (\lambda_n, \zeta_n, \eta_n, \beta_n, \rho_n, \mu_n)$ is **consistent**,

$$\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta_0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_n = (\lambda_n, \zeta_n, \eta_n, \beta_n, \rho_n, \mu_n)$ is **asymptotically normal**,

$$\sqrt{n}[\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, T), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where T can be calculated **explicitly**.

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 **The simple explicit estimator**
 - Estimating functions
 - The explicit solution
 - **The general framework**
- 3 Optimal estimating functions
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

Remarks

- previous analysis relied on the explicit solutions of the estimating function
- for studying optimal (quadratic) estimating functions, there is no explicit solution
- however, there is a general theory dealing with this problem
- it's instructive to review the simple estimator in this general framework

Remarks

- previous analysis relied on the explicit solutions of the estimating function
- for studying optimal (quadratic) estimating functions, there is no explicit solution
- however, there is a general theory dealing with this problem
- it's instructive to review the simple estimator in this general framework

Remarks

- previous analysis relied on the explicit solutions of the estimating function
- for studying optimal (quadratic) estimating functions, there is no explicit solution
- however, there is a general theory dealing with this problem
- it's instructive to review the simple estimator in this general framework

Remarks

- previous analysis relied on the explicit solutions of the estimating function
- for studying optimal (quadratic) estimating functions, there is no explicit solution
- however, there is a general theory dealing with this problem
- it's instructive to review the simple estimator in this general framework

Suppose:

$$G_n^j(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^j(V_{i-1}; \theta) [X_i^{f_j} V_i^{s_j} - f^j(V_{i-1}; \theta)], \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

For $\theta \in \Theta$, let us define the $d \times d$ matrices

- $J_n(\theta) = \partial_{\theta'} G_n(\theta)$
- $\Omega_n^0(\theta) = \partial_{\theta}^2 G_n(\theta)$

Suppose:

$$G_n^j(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^j(V_{i-1}; \theta) [X_i^{f_j} V_i^{s_j} - f^j(V_{i-1}; \theta)], \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

For $\theta \in \Theta$, let us define the $d \times d$ matrices

- $J_n(\theta) = \partial_{\theta^T} G_n(\theta)$
- $Q_n^{(j)}(\theta) = \partial_{\theta}^2 G_n^j(\theta).$

Suppose:

$$G_n^j(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^j(V_{i-1}; \theta) [X_i^{f_j} V_i^{s_j} - f^j(V_{i-1}; \theta)], \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

For $\theta \in \Theta$, let us define the $d \times d$ matrices

- $J_n(\theta) = \partial_{\theta^T} G_n(\theta)$
- $Q_n^{(j)}(\theta) = \partial_{\theta}^2 G_n^j(\theta)$.

Condition 2.6. of Sørensen (1999)

- (i) the mapping $\theta \mapsto G_n(\theta)$ is twice continuously differentiable;
- (ii) there exists a $\bar{\theta} \in \text{int } \Theta$ and an invertible non-random $d \times d$ matrix $A(\bar{\theta})$ such that

$$\sup_{\theta^{(i)} \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{1}{n} J_n(\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(d)}) - A(\bar{\theta}) \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for all $\alpha > 0$;

- (iii) there exist d non-random matrices $B^i(\bar{\theta})$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ such that

$$\sup_{\theta^{(i)} \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{1}{n} Q_n^{(i)}(\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(d)}) - B^i(\bar{\theta}) \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for all $\alpha > 0$;

Condition 2.6. of Sørensen (1999)

- (i) the mapping $\theta \mapsto G_n(\theta)$ is twice continuously differentiable;
- (ii) there exists a $\bar{\theta} \in \text{int } \Theta$ and an invertible non-random $d \times d$ matrix $A(\bar{\theta})$ such that

$$\sup_{\theta^{(i)} \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{1}{n} J_n(\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(d)}) - A(\bar{\theta}) \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for all $\alpha > 0$;

- (iii) there exist d non-random matrices $B^i(\bar{\theta})$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ such that

$$\sup_{\theta^{(i)} \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{1}{n} Q_n^{(i)}(\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(d)}) - B^i(\bar{\theta}) \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for all $\alpha > 0$;

Condition 2.6. of Sørensen (1999)

- (i) the mapping $\theta \mapsto G_n(\theta)$ is twice continuously differentiable;
- (ii) there exists a $\bar{\theta} \in \text{int } \Theta$ and an invertible non-random $d \times d$ matrix $A(\bar{\theta})$ such that

$$\sup_{\theta^{(i)} \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{1}{n} J_n(\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(d)}) - A(\bar{\theta}) \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for all $\alpha > 0$;

- (iii) there exist d non-random matrices $B^i(\bar{\theta})$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ such that

$$\sup_{\theta^{(i)} \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{1}{n} Q_n^{(i)}(\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(d)}) - B^i(\bar{\theta}) \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for all $\alpha > 0$;

(iv) $\left\{ \frac{G_n(\bar{\theta})}{\sqrt{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is stochastically bounded;

(v) $\sup_{\theta \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{G_n(\theta)}{n} \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$.

Theorem

For every n an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ exists that solves the estimating equation $G_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$ with a probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \bar{\theta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} G_n(\bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \Upsilon)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, A(\bar{\theta})^{-1} \Upsilon (A(\bar{\theta})^{-1})^T)$$

(iv) $\left\{ \frac{G_n(\bar{\theta})}{\sqrt{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is stochastically bounded;

(v) $\sup_{\theta \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{G_n(\theta)}{n} \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$.

Theorem

For every n an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ exists that solves the estimating equation $G_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$ with a probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \bar{\theta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} G_n(\bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \Upsilon)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, A(\bar{\theta})^{-1} \Upsilon (A(\bar{\theta})^{-1})^T)$$

(iv) $\left\{ \frac{G_n(\bar{\theta})}{\sqrt{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is stochastically bounded;

(v) $\sup_{\theta \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{G_n(\theta)}{n} \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$.

Theorem

For every n an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ exists that solves the estimating equation $G_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$ with a probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \bar{\theta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} G_n(\bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \Upsilon)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, A(\bar{\theta})^{-1} \Upsilon (A(\bar{\theta})^{-1})^T)$$

(iv) $\left\{ \frac{G_n(\bar{\theta})}{\sqrt{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is stochastically bounded;

(v) $\sup_{\theta \in M_n^\alpha(\bar{\theta})} \left\| \frac{G_n(\theta)}{n} \right\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$.

Theorem

For every n an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ exists that solves the estimating equation $G_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$ with a probability tending to one as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \bar{\theta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} G_n(\bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \Upsilon)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, A(\bar{\theta})^{-1} \Upsilon (A(\bar{\theta})^{-1})^T)$$

Outline

- 1 Barndorff-Nielsen Shepard (BNS) stochastic volatility models
 - Discretely observed continuous time model
- 2 The simple explicit estimator
 - Estimating functions
 - The explicit solution
 - The general framework
- 3 **Optimal estimating functions**
 - O_F and O_A optimality
- 4 Possible future work

O_F -optimality

Definition

$G_T^* \in \mathcal{H}$ is an O_F -optimal estimating function within \mathcal{H} if

$$\mathcal{E}(G_T^*) - \mathcal{E}(G_T)$$

is nonnegative definite for all $G_T \in \mathcal{H}$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and P_θ , where

$$\mathcal{E}(G_T) = E(\dot{G}_T)'(EG_T G_T')^{-1}(E\dot{G}_T).$$

- Not a very intuitive definition, but the idea is that if the score exists, an optimal estimating function within \mathcal{H} is one with **minimum dispersion distance from the score**.

O_F -optimality

Definition

$G_T^* \in \mathcal{H}$ is an O_F -optimal estimating function within \mathcal{H} if

$$\mathcal{E}(G_T^*) - \mathcal{E}(G_T)$$

is nonnegative definite for all $G_T \in \mathcal{H}$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and P_θ , where

$$\mathcal{E}(G_T) = E(\dot{G}_T)'(EG_T G_T')^{-1}(E\dot{G}_T).$$

- Not a very intuitive definition, but the idea is that if the score exists, an optimal estimating function within \mathcal{H} is one with **minimum dispersion distance from the score**.

O_A -optimality

Definition

$G_T^* \in \mathcal{H}$ is an O_A -optimal estimating function within \mathcal{H} if

$$\bar{G}_T^*(\theta)' \langle G^*(\theta) \rangle_T^{-1} \bar{G}_T^*(\theta) - \bar{G}_T' \langle G(\theta) \rangle_T^{-1} \bar{G}_T(\theta)$$

is almost surely nonnegative definite for all $G_T \in \mathcal{H}$, $\theta \in \Theta$, P_θ and $T > 0$, where $\dot{G}_t(\theta) - \bar{G}_T(\theta)$ is a martingale.

Relation between O_A and O_F optimality

O_A -optimality implies O_F -optimality in an important set of cases. The reverse implication does not ordinary hold.

Remark

The conditions needed for optimality are easier to verify when G s are **martingales!**

O_A -optimality

Definition

$G_T^* \in \mathcal{H}$ is an O_A -optimal estimating function within \mathcal{H} if

$$\bar{G}_T^*(\theta)' \langle G^*(\theta) \rangle_T^{-1} \bar{G}_T^*(\theta) - \bar{G}'_T \langle G(\theta) \rangle_T^{-1} \bar{G}_T(\theta)$$

is almost surely nonnegative definite for all $G_T \in \mathcal{H}$, $\theta \in \Theta$, P_θ and $T > 0$, where $\dot{G}_t(\theta) - \bar{G}_T(\theta)$ is a martingale.

Relation between O_A and O_F optimality

O_A -optimality implies O_F -optimality in an important set of cases. The reverse implication does not ordinary hold.

Remark

The conditions needed for optimality are easier to verify when G s are martingales!

O_A -optimality

Definition

$G_T^* \in \mathcal{H}$ is an O_A -optimal estimating function within \mathcal{H} if

$$\bar{G}_T^*(\theta)' \langle G^*(\theta) \rangle_T^{-1} \bar{G}_T^*(\theta) - \bar{G}'_T \langle G(\theta) \rangle_T^{-1} \bar{G}_T(\theta)$$

is almost surely nonnegative definite for all $G_T \in \mathcal{H}$, $\theta \in \Theta$, P_θ and $T > 0$, where $\dot{G}_t(\theta) - \bar{G}_T(\theta)$ is a martingale.

Relation between O_A and O_F optimality

O_A -optimality implies O_F -optimality in an important set of cases. The reverse implication does not ordinary hold.

Remark

The conditions needed for optimality are easier to verify when \mathbf{G} s are **martingales!**

Relation between O_A and O_F optimality

Theorem

Suppose that G_T^* is O_A -optimal within the convex class of martingale estimating functions \mathcal{M} . If $(\bar{G}_T^*)^{-1} \langle G^* \rangle_T$ is **non-random** for $T > 0$, then G_T^* is also O_F -optimal within \mathcal{M} .

Optimality in the class $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ of quadratic estimating functions.

An estimating function in \mathcal{M} is of the form

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, V_i, V_{i-1}, \theta),$$

where $g(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j(v_0, \theta) h_j(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta)$, $N = 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= v_1 - f_1(v_0, \theta), & f_1(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[V_1 | V_0 = v] \\ h_2(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= x_1 - f_2(v_0, \theta), & f_2(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[X_1 | V_0 = v] \\ h_3(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= v_1^2 - f_3(v_0, \theta), & f_3(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[V_1^2 | V_0 = v] \\ h_4(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= x_1^2 - f_4(v_0, \theta), & f_4(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[X_1^2 | V_0 = v] \\ h_5(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= x_1 v_1 - f_5(v_0, \theta), & f_5(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[X_1 V_1 | V_0 = v] \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha_j(v, \theta)$ is a p -dimensional vector of measurable functions of v for each θ and $j = 1, \dots, N$ such that $G_n(\theta)$ is **square integrable**.

Optimality in the class $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ of quadratic estimating functions.

An estimating function in \mathcal{M} is of the form

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, V_i, V_{i-1}, \theta),$$

where $g(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j(v_0, \theta) h_j(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta)$, $N = 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= v_1 - f_1(v_0, \theta), & f_1(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[V_1 | V_0 = v] \\ h_2(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= x_1 - f_2(v_0, \theta), & f_2(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[X_1 | V_0 = v] \\ h_3(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= v_1^2 - f_3(v_0, \theta), & f_3(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[V_1^2 | V_0 = v] \\ h_4(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= x_1^2 - f_4(v_0, \theta), & f_4(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[X_1^2 | V_0 = v] \\ h_5(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) &= x_1 v_1 - f_5(v_0, \theta), & f_5(v, \theta) &= E_\theta[X_1 V_1 | V_0 = v] \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha_j(v, \theta)$ is a p -dimensional vector of measurable functions of v for each θ and $j = 1, \dots, N$ such that $G_n(\theta)$ is **square integrable**.

Optimal estimating function in the sense of Heyde (1997) for the class \mathcal{M} .

Theorem

Define an estimating function by

$$G_n^*(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(X_i, V_i, V_{i-1}, \theta),$$

where

$$g^*(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta) = A^*(v_0, \theta)h(x_1, v_1, v_0, \theta),$$

with

$$A^*(v; \theta) = B(v; \theta)C(v; \theta)^{-1} \quad b_{ij}(v, \theta) = \partial_i f_j(v, \theta)$$

and

$$c_{ij}(v, \theta) = E_\theta[h_i(X_1, V_1, V_0, \theta)h_j(X_1, V_1, V_0, \theta) | V_0 = v]$$

Then G^* is O_A -optimal in \mathcal{M} and G_n^* is O_F -optimal in \mathcal{M}_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

- $b_{ij}(v)$ is a polynomial in v
 - $c_{ij}(v)$ is a polynomial in v
- $\implies \alpha_{ij}^*(v)$ are explicit **rational** functions of v .

In fact

this allows us the application of the general framework given before and explicit calculation of the covariance matrix of θ_n .

Remark

- $b_{ij}(v)$ is a polynomial in v
- $c_{ij}(v)$ is a polynomial in v
 $\implies \alpha_{ij}^*(v)$ are explicit **rational** functions of v .

In fact

this allows us the application of the general framework given before and explicit calculation of the covariance matrix of θ_n .

For consistency and AN of the optimal estimator, we use the general framework and results of Sørensen (1999).

Theorem

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ obtained by solving the equation $G_n^*(\theta) = 0$ is asymptotically normal, namely

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, J(\bar{\theta})^{-1} \Phi (J(\bar{\theta})^{-1})^\top) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where

$$\Phi = \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{z=1}^N E[\alpha_{im}^*(V_0, \bar{\theta}) \alpha_{jz}^*(V_0, \bar{\theta}) \text{Cov}(\Xi_1^m, \Xi_1^z | V_0)],$$

$$\Xi_k = (V_k, X_k, V_k^2, X_k^2, X_k V_k)^\top, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$J_n^{p,k}(\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(d)}) = \frac{\partial G_n^p(\theta^{(p)})}{\partial \theta_k}, \quad \theta^{(p)} \in \Theta, \quad k = 1, \dots, d, \quad p = 1, \dots, d$$

Criticism to address

- Instantaneous variance is not an observable quantity in discrete time
- Various quantities are suggested as substitutes for the variance
- More efficient estimators than provided by the optimal quadratic estimating function can be obtained by incorporating further moments

- Although very high moments are not reliable for empirical investigations, BNS-models allow also explicit computation of the characteristic function and thus of (un)conditional *trigonometric moments* $E[e^{i(\xi_k V_1 + \psi_k X_1)}]$ and $E[e^{i(\xi_k V_1 + \psi_k X_1)} | V_0]$ for arbitrary constants ξ_k and ψ_k , that could be used instead for constructing estimating functions.
- Comparison of our results to the related *generalized methods of moments*, which would require a precise specification of the weighting matrix

Bibliography



Barndorff-Nielsen, Ole E. and Shephard, Neil,

Non-Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models and some of their uses in financial economics,

J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol., 63(2):167–241, 2001,



Sørensen, Michael

On asymptotics of estimating functions

Brazilian J. Prob. and Stat., 13: 111-136, 1999,



Heyde, Christopher C.,

Quasi-Likelihood And Its Application: A General Approach to Optimal Parameter Estimation, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997